



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services


Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

**PUBLIC COPY**



43

FILE:  Office: LOS ANGELES Date: **JAN 27 2005**

IN RE: Obligor:   
Bonded Alien:

IMMIGRATION BOND: Bond Conditioned for Voluntary Departure under § 240B of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1229c

ON BEHALF OF OBLIGOR: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The voluntary departure bond in this matter was declared breached by the Field Office Director, Detention and Removal, Los Angeles, California, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The record indicates that on May 8, 2002, the obligor posted a \$500.00 bond conditioned for his voluntary departure. An order of the immigration judge (IJ) dated May 7, 2002, was issued granting the alien voluntary departure in lieu of removal on or before July 7, 2002. The bonded alien filed an appeal and motion to reopen before the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA). On November 24, 2003, the BIA denied the motion to reopen and dismissed the appeal. The BIA granted the alien voluntary departure within 30 days from the date of the order. On December 24, 2003, the alien filed a motion to reconsider before the BIA. On March 19, 2004, the BIA denied the motion to reconsider. On January 14, 2004, the field office director extended the alien's voluntary departure on or before February 29, 2004. On April 23, 2004, the field office director concluded the bond had been breached.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

On appeal, the obligor indicates that a brief and/or evidence would be submitted to the AAO within 30 days. To date, no brief and/or evidence has been presented.

Inasmuch as the obligor has failed to identify specifically an erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact as a basis for the appeal, the regulations mandate the summary dismissal of the appeal.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.