

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

H2

[Redacted]

FILE:

[Redacted]

Office:

[Redacted]

Date: APR 27 2006

IN RE:

[Redacted]

APPLICATION:

Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under section 212(h) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(h)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Officer in Charge (OIC), Ciudad Juarez, Chihuahua, Mexico, denied the application for waiver. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in Washington DC on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record reflects that on June 14, 2004, the officer in charge found that the applicant was inadmissible to the U.S. pursuant to section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(I) of the Immigration and Nationality Act ("the Act"), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(2)(A)(i)(I), as an alien who has been convicted of crimes involving moral turpitude (rape by force, sodomy, oral sex perversion). The OIC found that the applicant did not establish extreme hardship to a qualifying relative and denied the applicant's waiver application accordingly.

8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(3) states:

(3) Translations. Any document containing foreign language submitted to the Service shall be accompanied by a full English language translation which the translator has certified as complete and accurate, and by the translator's certification that he or she is competent to translate from the foreign language into English.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v) states in pertinent part:

(v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The record reflects that the applicant submitted five documents on appeal. Each of those documents is written in Spanish. No translations have been provided. The applicant submitted no other evidence or information on appeal. The applicant's notice of appeal will therefore be dismissed pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v) as he has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed and the decision of the officer in charge affirmed.