




U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

#2

Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

**PUBLIC COPY**



FILE:



Office: PHOENIX, AZ

Date: **MAY 26 2006**

IN RE:



APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(i) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the District Director, Phoenix, Arizona. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), for seeking to procure admission into to the United States by fraud or willful misrepresentation. The applicant is the spouse of a lawful permanent resident and seeks a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i), in order to reside in the United States with her family.

The district director concluded that no purpose would be served in granting the application as the applicant has not filed a Form I-485, Application to Register Permanent Resident or Adjust Status. *Decision of the District Director*, dated August 2, 2004.

On appeal, the applicant states that, "The decision in my case was arbitrary and an abuse of discretion. I feel that I submitted enough evidence to establish extreme hardship." *Form I-290B*, dated August 23, 2004. No brief or evidence was submitted with the appeal, and the appeal does not dispute or otherwise address the grounds upon which the applicant's application was denied.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v) states in pertinent part that:

- (v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The AAO finds that the applicant's appeal fails to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the district director's decision. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.