

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

#2

PUBLIC COPY



FILE: [REDACTED] Office: CHICAGO Date: JAN 10 2007

IN RE: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under section 212(i) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The District Director, Chicago, Illinois, denied the waiver application and it is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The record reflects that, on March 30, 2005, the district director found that the applicant was inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act ("the Act"), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), as an alien who procured admission to the United States by fraud or misrepresentation and failed to establish that extreme hardship would be imposed on a qualifying relative. The district director denied the Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility (Form I-601) accordingly. *Decision of the District Director*, dated March 30, 2005.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states in pertinent part:

(v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The record reflects that, on April 13, 2005, counsel filed a Notice of Appeal to the Administrative Appeals Office (Form I-290B). On appeal, counsel simply asserts, "the Department of Homeland Security failed to consider the evidence submitted which shows the extreme hardship that the U.S. citizen spouse and lawful permanent resident father would suffer if Ms. [REDACTED] were denied the waiver." Counsel did not submit a brief or additional evidence with the Form I-290B. Counsel failed to identify either on the Form I-290B or through submission of a brief or evidence any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact made by the district director. The applicant's appeal will therefore be summarily dismissed pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.