

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

PUBLIC COPY

*HL*

JUL 26 2007

[Redacted]

FILE: [Redacted]

Office: LOS ANGELES, CA

Date:

IN RE: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(i) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

*Robert P. Wiemann*

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The District Director, Los Angeles, California, denied the waiver application and it is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as improperly filed.

In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party must file an appeal on Form I-290B.

The record indicates that the director issued the decision on April 14, 2005. It is noted that the district director properly gave notice to the applicant that he had to file the appeal on Form I-290B. The record reflects that counsel failed to file a Form I-290B. Therefore, counsel failed to comply with the requirements at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) for filing an appeal. Accordingly, the appeal was improperly filed.

As the appeal was improperly filed, the appeal must be rejected.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected.