



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

[REDACTED]

HR2

FILE:

Office: LONDON

Date: **MAY 23 2007**

IN RE:

Applicant:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION:

Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Sections 212(a)(9)(B)(v), 212(h) AND 212(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. §§ 1182(a)(9)(B)(v), 1182(h) and 1182(i).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Officer in Charge, London. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The officer in charge found that the applicant was inadmissible to the United States pursuant to: 1) section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(I) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(2)(A)(i)(I), as an alien who has been convicted of crimes involving moral turpitude; 2) section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II), as an alien who has been unlawfully present in the United States for one year or more; and 3) section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), as an alien who has attempted to enter the United States or obtain an immigration benefit by fraud or willful misrepresentation of a material fact. The officer in charge determined that the applicant did not qualify for a waiver of his grounds of inadmissibility because he failed to establish that his U.S. citizen wife would suffer extreme hardship if he were denied admission into the United States. The applicant's Form I-601, Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility (Form I-601) was denied accordingly.

On appeal the applicant states:

I wish to prove that my past convictions and actions do not represent my character and life since becoming a husband and father. I will forward evidence of my good character and proof that I am a reformed character worthy to be reconsidered for admission.

The applicant indicates that he will send a brief and/or evidence to the AAO within thirty days. The applicant makes no other assertions on appeal, and the AAO notes that it has received no additional documents or evidence from the applicant.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v) states in pertinent part:

Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The AAO finds that the applicant has failed to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in his appeal. The appeal will therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.