



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

H2

FILE:

[REDACTED]
(CDJ 2004 808 106)

Office: MEXICO CITY, MEXICO
(CIUDAD JUAREZ)

Date:

DEC 03 2009

IN RE:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(a)(9)(B) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen, as required by 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Perry Rhew".

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the District Director, Mexico City, Mexico. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The record reflects that the applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II), for having been unlawfully present in the United States for more than one year and seeking readmission within ten years of her last departure from the United States. The applicant's spouse is a U.S. citizen. The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B).

The district director concluded that the applicant had failed to establish that extreme hardship would be imposed on a qualifying relative and denied the Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility (Form I-601) accordingly. *Decision of the District Director*, at 4, dated October 4, 2006.

On appeal, the applicant's spouse requests that the AAO view the attached letters from him and from his and the applicant's son, and the Form I-797 approval notice for the Form I-130, Petition for Alien Relative, filed by the applicant's father. *Form I-290B*, dated October 20, 2006. The record includes, but is not limited to, the aforementioned Form I-797, an undated letter from the applicant and two undated letters from the applicant's spouse. It appears that the applicant's letter and one of the letters from her spouse were submitted on appeal. However these letters are in Spanish and will not be considered by the AAO because they are not accompanied by English-language translations, as required by the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(3). The appeal does not dispute or otherwise address the grounds upon which the Form I-601 application was denied.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v) states in pertinent part that:

- (v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The AAO finds that the applicant's appeal fails to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the district director's decision. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.