

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

H2

[REDACTED]

FILE:

[REDACTED]

Office: ST. PAUL, MN

Date:

MAR 06 2009

IN RE:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION:

Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(h) and (i) of
the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(h) and (i)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to
the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "John F. Grissom".

John F. Grissom, Acting Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the Field Office Director, St. Paul, Minnesota. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The record reflects that the applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to sections 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(I) and 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(2)(A)(i)(I) and 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), for having been convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude and for attempting to procure admission to the United States through fraud or misrepresentation. The record indicates that the applicant has a two U.S. citizen children and a lawful permanent resident mother. The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility in order to reside in the United States.

The field office director found that the applicant had failed to establish extreme hardship to a qualifying relative and denied the Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility (Form I-601) accordingly. *Field Office Director's Decision*, at 3, dated May 29, 2007.

On appeal, counsel states that the field office director erred in determining that extreme hardship has not been met. *Form I-290B*, at 2, dated June 27, 2007. No documents were submitted with the appeal. The AAO notes that counsel indicates that a brief and/or other evidence will be filed within 30 days. However, no brief and/or other evidence has been received by the AAO. Accordingly, the appeal does not dispute or otherwise address the grounds upon which the application was denied.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v) states in pertinent part that:

- (v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The AAO finds that the applicant's appeal fails to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the field office director's decision. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.