

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

H₂



FILE: [REDACTED] Office: CIUDAD JUAREZ
CDJ 2004 811 067 (RELATES)

Date: **NOV 04 2009**

IN RE: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(B)(v)
of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. §§ 1182(a)(9)(B)(v)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen, as required by 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

for Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the Officer-In-Charge (OIC), Ciudad Juarez, Mexico. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant, [REDACTED], is a native and citizen of Mexico. She was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. §§ 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II), for having been unlawfully present in the United States for more than one year and seeking admission within 10 years of her last departure from the United States. The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. §§ 1182(a)(9)(B)(v), in order to return to the United States to join her U.S. citizen spouse and children.

The OIC concluded that the applicant had failed to establish that her bar to admission would impose extreme hardship on a qualifying relative and denied the Application for Waiver of Ground of Excludability (Form I-601) accordingly.

On appeal, counsel states, “complete evidence was not considered.” On the Notice of Appeal (Form I-290B), counsel indicated that a brief and/or evidence would be submitted to the AAO within 30 days. The Form I-290B was filed on December 18, 2006. As of the date of this decision, the AAO has not received any additional documentation from counsel. Therefore, the record is considered complete.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1) states, in pertinent part, that:

- (v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The AAO finds that the applicant’s appeal fails to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the district director’s decision. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.