

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Immigration and Citizenship Services  
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090

U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

H

PUBLIC COPY

[REDACTED]

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: NOV 05 2009

IN RE: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under section 212(h) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(h).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen, as required by 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

Perry Rhew  
Chief Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The waiver application was denied by the Director, California Service Center. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Cameroon who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(I) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(2)(A)(i)(I), for having been convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude. The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility in order remain in the United States and reside with her U.S. citizen sons.

The district director found that the applicant failed to establish extreme hardship to her U.S. citizen sons and denied the Form I-601 application for a waiver accordingly. *Decision of the District Director*, dated June 18, 2007.

On appeal, counsel for the applicant states that the applicant disagrees with the adverse decision in that she has met her statutory burden of demonstrating extreme hardship. *Statement from Counsel on Form I-290B*, submitted July 7, 2007. Counsel further requested 30 days in which to file a brief in support of the appeal. The AAO has not received a brief or further information.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)states in pertinent part:

- (v) *Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The AAO finds that the applicant's appeal fails to specifically identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the director's decision. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.