

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

H<sub>2</sub>

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: MEXICO CITY (CIUDAD JUAREZ)  
(CDJ 2004 766 591 relates)

Date: **OCT 09 2009**

IN RE: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(a)(9)(B)(v)  
of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen, as required by 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The waiver application was denied by the District Director, Mexico City. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The record reflects that the applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II), for having been unlawfully present in the United States for more than one year. The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v), in order to reside with his wife and children in the United States.

The district director found that the applicant failed to establish extreme hardship to his U.S. citizen spouse and denied the application accordingly. *Decision of the District Director*, dated October 28, 2006.

On appeal, the applicant's wife states the reasons for the appeal in Spanish. She states she will send a brief and/or evidence to the AAO within 30 days. *Notice of Appeal to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) (Form I-290B)*. The regulations at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(3) require that any document containing foreign language submitted to U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services be accompanied by a full English language translation which the translator has certified as complete and accurate, and by the translator's certification that he or she is competent to translate from the foreign language into English. As such, the statement on appeal cannot be accepted. In addition, the AAO notes that, to date, aside from a copy of the applicant's wife's passport application, no brief or additional evidence was submitted on appeal.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a) states in pertinent part:

(v) *Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The AAO finds that the applicant's appeal fails to specifically identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the district director's decision. Accordingly, the appeal is summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.