

**Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090  
**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**



**PUBLIC COPY**



htz

FILE: [Redacted] Office: ACCRA, GHANA Date: MAR 25 2011

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

APPLICATIONS: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under section 212(h) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(h); and Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The waiver application was denied by the Field Office Director, Accra, Ghana, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be remanded to the Field Office Director for further proceedings consistent with this decision.

The record reflects that the applicant is a native and citizen of Sierra Leone who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(I) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(2)(A)(i)(I), for having been convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude; and section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II), for having been unlawfully present in the United States for more than one year and seeking readmission within ten years of his last departure from the United States. The record indicates that the applicant is married to a United States citizen and the father of a United States citizen child and one United States citizen stepchild. He is the beneficiary of an approved Petition for Alien Relative (Form I-130). The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(h) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(h), and 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v), in order to reside in the United States with his wife, son, and stepson.

The Field Office Director found that the applicant had failed to establish that extreme hardship would be imposed on the applicant's qualifying relative and denied the Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility (Form I-601) accordingly. *Decision of the Field Office Director*, dated September 17, 2008.

On appeal, the applicant, through counsel, claims that the applicant's "family continues to deteriorate as a result of the extreme hardships suffered by [the applicant's] absence." *Form I-290B*, filed October 16, 2008.

The AAO notes that the applicant also filed an Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission After Deportation or Removal (Form I-212); however, the Field Office Director denied the applicant's Form I-212 on September 17, 2008. The AAO only received one Form I-290B with a filing fee; therefore, it will only adjudicate one appeal (Form I-601 appeal).<sup>1</sup>

The record includes, but is not limited to, counsel's briefs, letters of support for the applicant and his wife, medical documentation for the applicant's son, documents regarding the applicant's son's developmental delays, documents for the applicant's previous marriage and divorce, tax documents, country report documents on [REDACTED] criminal documents for the applicant's fraudulent marriage conviction, and documents from the applicant's removal proceeding. The entire record was reviewed and considered in arriving at a decision on the appeal.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Adjudicator's Field Manual provides guidance on adjudicating Forms I-601 and I-212 that are filed together.

#### 43.2 Adjudication Processes.

(c) Of course, an alien might be applying for both consent to reapply and a waiver of inadmissibility, provided the particular ground(s) of inadmissibility applying to the alien are waivable. If the alien has filed both applications (Forms I-212 and I-601), adjudicate the waiver application first. If the Form I-601 waiver is approved, then consider the Form I-212 on its merits; if the Form I-601 is denied (and the decision is final), deny the Form I-212 since its approval would serve no purpose.

Section 212(a) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that:

*(A) Conviction of certain crimes.—*

- (i) In general.—Except as provided in clause (ii), any alien convicted of, or who admits having committed, or who admits committing acts which constitute the essential elements of—
  - (I) a crime involving moral turpitude (other than a purely political offense) or an attempt or conspiracy to commit such a crime...

Section 212(h) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that:

Waiver of subsection (a)(2)(A)(i)(I), (II), (B), (D), and (E).—The Attorney General [now the Secretary of Homeland Security, “Secretary”] may, in [her] discretion, waive the application of subparagraphs (A)(i)(I)...of subsection (a)(2) if—

- (1) (A) in the case of any immigrant it is established to the satisfaction of the [Secretary] that—
    - (i)...the activities for which the alien is inadmissible occurred more than 15 years before the date of the alien’s application for a visa, admission, or adjustment of status,
    - (ii)the admission to the United States of such alien would not be contrary to the national welfare, safety, or security of the United States, and
    - (iii)the alien has been rehabilitated; or
  - (B) in the case of an immigrant who is the spouse, parent, son, or daughter of a citizen of the United States or an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence if it established to the satisfaction of the [Secretary] that the alien’s denial of admission would result in extreme hardship to the United States citizen or lawfully resident spouse, parent, son, or daughter of such alien...
- (2) the [Secretary], in [her] discretion, and pursuant to such terms, conditions and procedures as he may by regulations prescribe, has consented to the alien’s applying or reapplying for a visa, for admission to the United States, or adjustment of status.

Section 212(a)(9)(B) of the Act provides, in pertinent part:

(B) Aliens Unlawfully Present.-

- (i) In general.-Any alien (other than an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence) who-
  - (II) has been unlawfully present in the United States for one year or more, and who again seeks admission within 10 years of the date of such alien's departure or removal from the United States, is inadmissible.
- (v) Waiver.-The [Secretary] has sole discretion to waive clause (i) in the case of an immigrant who is the spouse or son or daughter of a United States citizen or of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence, if it is established to the satisfaction of the [Secretary] that the refusal of admission to such immigrant alien would result in extreme hardship to the citizen or lawfully resident spouse or parent of such alien.

Section 204(c) of the Act states:

[N]o petition shall be approved if (1) the alien has previously...sought to be accorded, an immediate relative or preference status as the spouse of a citizen of the United States...by reason of a marriage determined by the Attorney General to have been entered into for the purpose of evading the immigration laws, or (2) the Attorney General has determined that the alien has attempted or conspired to enter into a marriage for the purpose of evading the immigration laws.

8 U.S.C. § 1154(c). The corresponding regulation provides:

Fraudulent marriage prohibition. Section 204(c) of the Act prohibits the approval of a visa petition filed on behalf of an alien who has attempted or conspired to enter into a marriage for the purpose of evading the immigration laws. The director will deny a petition for immigrant visa classification filed on behalf of any alien for whom there is substantial and probative evidence of such an attempt or conspiracy, regardless of whether that alien received a benefit through the attempt or conspiracy. Although it is not necessary that the alien have been convicted of, or even prosecuted for, the attempt or conspiracy, the evidence of the attempt or conspiracy must be contained in the alien's file.

8 C.F.R. § 204.2(a)(ii). A decision that section 204(c) of the Act applies must be made in the course of adjudicating a subsequent visa petition. *Matter of Rahmati*, 16 I&N Dec. 538, 359 (BIA 1978). United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) may rely on any relevant evidence in the record, including evidence from prior USCIS proceedings involving the beneficiary. *Id.* However, the adjudicator must come to his or her own, independent conclusion, and should not ordinarily give

conclusive effect to determinations made in prior collateral proceedings. *Id.*; *Matter of Tawfik*, 20 I&N Dec. 166, 168 (BIA 1990).

The record establishes that on April 12, 1991, the applicant married his first wife, [REDACTED] Brown, a United States citizen, in Virginia. The applicant's wife filed a Form I-130 on behalf of the applicant, which was approved on August 9, 1991. On August 12, 1991, the applicant was granted lawful permanent resident status in the United States. On June 23, 1995, the applicant pled guilty to entering into a marriage for the purpose of evading U.S. immigration law. On November 9, 1995, the applicant and [REDACTED] divorced. On June 10, 1996, the District Director, Arlington, Virginia, terminated the applicant's permanent residence status. On May 30, 2003, the applicant married [REDACTED] in Virginia. On August 21, 2003, the applicant's wife became a United States citizen. On April 2, 2004, [REDACTED] filed a Form I-130 on behalf of the applicant. On April 30, 2004, the applicant filed an Application to Register Permanent Residence or Adjust Status (Form I-485). On October 31, 2005, the applicant's Form I-130 was denied and his Form I-485 was terminated. On January 22, 2007, [REDACTED] filed another Form I-130 on behalf of the applicant, which was approved on June 5, 2007. On May 20, 2008, the applicant filed a Form I-601 based on his inadmissibility under sections 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(I) and 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Act. On September 17, 2008, the applicant's Form I-601 was denied. Because the record does not show that the applicant entered into his marriage to [REDACTED] in good faith and not for the purpose of evading the immigration laws of the United States, the AAO must conclude that the applicant's prior marriage is within the purview of section 204(c) of the Act as a marriage entered into for the purpose of evading the immigration laws. In that the applicant's prior marriage has been found to have been entered into for the purpose of evading the immigration laws of the United States, he is permanently barred from benefitting from a Form I-130 petition filed by a subsequent spouse. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1154(c). In light of this permanent bar, no purpose would be served in addressing the applicant's contentions regarding his eligibility for an extreme hardship waiver of inadmissibility under sections 212(h) and 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Act.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 205.2, the approval of an I-130 petition is revocable when the necessity for the revocation comes to the attention of USCIS. Therefore, the AAO remands the matter to the Field Office Director to initiate proceedings for the revocation of the approved Form I-130 petition. Should the approved Form I-130 petition be revoked, the Field Office Director will issue a new decision dismissing the applicant's Form I-601 as moot. In the alternative, should it be determined that the applicant is not subject to section 204(c) of the Act, and that the Form I-130 is not to be revoked, then the Field Office Director will issue a new decision addressing the merits of the applicant's Form I-601 waiver application. If that decision is adverse to the applicant, it will be certified for review to the AAO pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.4.

**ORDER:** The matter is remanded to the Field Office Director for further proceedings consistent with this decision.