


identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**



H2

FILE:



Office: KINGSTON, JAMAICA

Date:

MAY 03 2011

IN RE:



APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(h) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(h).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The waiver application was denied by the Field Office Director, Kingston, Jamaica. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed. The matter will be returned to the Field Office Director to be treated as a motion to reopen.

In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party must file the complete appeal within 30 days of after service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b). The date of filing is not the date of mailing, but the date of actual receipt. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(7)(i).

The record indicates that the district director issued the decision on October 22, 2009, and the decision was mailed to the applicant on November 6, 2009. It is noted that the Field Office Director properly gave notice to the applicant that she had 33 days to file the appeal, as well as the accepted form of payment of the required fee. A properly filed Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal to the Administrative Appeals Office, with the appropriate form of payment was not received by U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services until December 31, 2009, 55 days after the decision was issued. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed.

Neither the Act nor the pertinent regulations grant the AAO authority to extend the 33 day time limit for filing an appeal. As the appeal was untimely filed, the appeal must be rejected. Nevertheless, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case.

A motion to reopen must state the new facts to be proved in the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2). A motion that does not meet the applicable requirements shall be dismissed. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(4). The Field Office Director declined to treat the late appeal as a motion and forwarded the matter to the AAO.

On appeal counsel for the applicant asserts that the applicant has not been convicted of an aggravated felony and submits an additional document in support of her assertion.<sup>1</sup>

Here, the untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen. The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, in this case the Field Office Director. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii). Therefore, the Field Office Director must consider the untimely appeal as a motion to reopen and render a new decision on the merits accordingly.

---

<sup>1</sup> The AAO notes the record supports that the applicant is also inadmissible under section 212(a)(6)(E) for having assisted an alien in entering the United States contrary to U.S. immigration law, and section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) for having willfully misrepresented a material fact in an attempt to enter the United States.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected. The matter is returned to the Field Office Director for treatment as a motion to reopen and issuance of a new decision on the merits of the case.