



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

**Non-Precedent Decision of the
Administrative Appeals Office**

MATTER OF V-M-R-

DATE: NOV. 4, 2015

APPEAL OF NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER DECISION

APPLICATION: FORM I-601, APPLICATION FOR WAIVER OF GROUNDS OF
INADMISSIBILITY

The Applicant, a native and citizen of Dominican Republic, seeks a waiver of inadmissibility. *See* Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act) § 212(i), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i), and § 212(h), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(h). The Director, Nebraska Service Center, denied the application. The matter is now before us on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The Applicant is a native and citizen of Dominican Republic who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), for having falsely represented himself to be a U.S. citizen on March 21, 1989. The Applicant was further found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(I) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(2)(A)(i)(I), for having been convicted of crimes involving moral turpitude. The Applicant is married to a United States citizen. He is the beneficiary of an approved Petition for Alien Relative (Form I-130). The Applicant seeks a waiver of both grounds of inadmissibility in order to reside in the United States with his spouse.

On February 11, 2015, the Director found that the Applicant did not establish extreme hardship to his U.S. citizen spouse and denied the Form I-601, Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility (Form I-601), accordingly.

On appeal, the Applicant indicated on the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B), that he would file a brief and/or additional evidence with us within 30 days. As of this date, we have not received any additional documents, nor were any statements made on the Form I-290B regarding the denial of the Applicant's Form I-601.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1) states in pertinent part:

(v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

We find that the Applicant's appeal does not identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the Director's decision. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

Matter of V-M-R-

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

Cite as *Matter of V-M-R-*, ID# 14162 (AAO Nov. 4, 2015)