

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY



U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Service  
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090

U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

H3

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: MEXICO CITY (CIUDAD JUAREZ), MEXICO Date: APR 13 2009

IN RE: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(a)(9)(B) and (i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B) and (i)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required by 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Michael Shumway".

John F. Grissom  
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The waiver application was denied by the District Director, Mexico City (Ciudad Juarez), Mexico. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The record reflects that the applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to sections 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) and 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) and 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), for having been unlawfully present in the United States for more than one year and seeking readmission within 10 years of her last departure from the United States, and for attempting to procure admission to the United States through fraud or misrepresentation. The record indicates that the applicant has a U.S. citizen spouse and child. The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility in order to reside in the United States.

The district director found that the applicant had failed to establish extreme hardship to a qualifying relative as a result of her continued inadmissibility and denied the Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility (Form I-601) accordingly. *District Director's Decision*, dated June 5, 2006.

On appeal, the applicant's spouse states that he is requesting a review of the applicant's petition for a waiver. *Form I-290B*, dated June 23, 2006. The AAO notes that the applicant indicates that he is submitting a separate brief and/or evidence with the Form I-290B. However, no brief and no additional evidence was submitted. Accordingly, the appeal does not dispute or otherwise address the grounds upon which the application was denied.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v) states in pertinent part that:

- (v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The AAO finds that the applicant's appeal fails to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the district director's decision. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.