

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Massachusetts Ave. N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

43



FILE: [Redacted] Office: CIUDAD JUAREZ, MEXICO

Date: **JAN 08 2009**

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under sections 212(a)(9)(B)(v) and section 212(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v); 1182(i).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen, as required by 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

John F. Grissom, Acting Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the District Director, Ciudad Juarez, Mexico, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant, [REDACTED] is a native and citizen of Mexico who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II), for having been unlawfully present in the United States for more than one year; and pursuant to 212(a)(6)(C)(i), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), of the Act, for seeking to procure entry into the United States by fraud or willful misrepresentation.

The applicant sought a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to sections 212(a)(9)(B)(v) and 212(i) of the Act so as to join her husband, who is a naturalized citizen of the United States, in the United States. The district director concluded that the applicant had failed to establish that her bar to admission would impose extreme hardship on a qualifying relative, and denied the Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility (Form I-601) accordingly. *Decision of the District Director*, dated June 5, 2006. The applicant submitted a timely appeal.

The regulation under 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(3) states:

(3) Translations. Any document containing foreign language submitted to the Service shall be accompanied by a full English language translation which the translator has certified as complete and accurate, and by the translator's certification that he or she is competent to translate from the foreign language into English.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v) states in pertinent part:

(v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The record reflects that the applicant submitted two letters signed by her husband, [REDACTED] Flores, on appeal. Both letters are written completely in Spanish, and have no translation. The applicant submitted no other evidence or information on appeal; nor did he state any reason for the appeal. The applicant's notice of appeal will therefore be dismissed pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(3).

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed and the district director's decision is affirmed.