

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals, MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

H4



FILE: [REDACTED] Office: DALLAS, TX
RELATES)

Date: **MAY 15 2009**

IN RE: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after
Deportation or Removal under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and
Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required by 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

John F. Grissom
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Field Office Director, Dallas, Texas, denied the Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal (Form I-212) and it is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record contains a Form G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative, indicating that the applicant's representative is licensed in Texas; however, the State Bar of Texas' records do not reflect that such an individual is a licensed attorney in Texas. All representations will be considered but the decision will be furnished only to the applicant.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who, on August 24, 1987, pled guilty to and was convicted of felony robbery in Texas. The applicant was sentenced to twenty years in jail. On May 2, 1989, the applicant was placed into immigration proceedings. On December 13, 1989, the applicant was paroled until March 12, 2007. On December 29, 1989, the immigration judge ordered the applicant removed from the United States. On December 30, 1989, the applicant was removed from the United States and returned to Mexico.

On October 25, 2005, a Notice of Intent/Decision to Reinstate Prior Order (Form I-871) was issued pursuant to section 241(a)(5) of Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1231(a)(5). The applicant had reentered the United States without a lawful admission or parole and without permission to reapply for admission on January 5, 1990. On October 26, 2005, the applicant was removed from the United States and returned to Mexico. On August 2, 2007, the applicant filed the Form I-212, indicating that he resided in Mexico. The applicant is inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(A)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(ii), at any time. He seeks permission to reapply for admission into the United States under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii) in order to reside in the United States with his U.S. citizen spouse and three U.S. citizen children.

The field office director determined that the applicant did not warrant a favorable exercise of discretion and denied the Form I-212 accordingly. *See Field Office Director's Decision*, dated March 31, 2008.

On appeal, counsel contends that the applicant warrants a favorable exercise of discretion. *See Counsel's Brief*, dated May 29, 2008. In support of his contentions, counsel submits only the referenced brief and copies of documentation previously provided. The entire record was reviewed in rendering a decision in this case.

Section 212(a)(9) of the Act states in pertinent part:

(A) Certain aliens previously removed.-

- (i) Arriving aliens.- Any alien who has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1) or at the end of proceedings under section 240 initiated upon the alien's arrival in the United States and who again seeks admission within five years of the date of such removal (or within 20 years in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.

- (ii) Other aliens.-Any alien not described in clause (i) who-
 - (I) has been ordered removed under section 240 or any other provision of law, or
 - (II) departed the United States while an order of removal was outstanding, and who seeks admission within 10 years of the date of such alien's departure or removal (or within 20 years of such date in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case on a alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.
- (iii) Exception.- Clauses (i) and (ii) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission within a period if, prior to the date of the alien's reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be admitted from foreign contiguous territory, the Secretary has consented to the alien's reapplying for admission.

....

(C) Aliens unlawfully present after previous immigration violations.-

- (i) In general.-Any alien who-
 - (I) has been unlawfully present in the United States for an aggregate period of more than 1 year, or
 - (II) has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1), section 240, or any other provision of law, and who enters or attempts to reenter the United States without being admitted is inadmissible.
- (ii) Exception.- Clause (i) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission more than 10 years after the date of the alien's last departure from the United States if, prior to the alien's reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be readmitted from a foreign contiguous territory, the Secretary has consented to the alien's reapplying for admission. The Secretary, in the Secretary's discretion, may waive the provisions of section 212(a)(9)(C)(i) in the case of an alien to whom the Secretary has granted classification under clause (iii), (iv), or (v) of section 204(a)(1)(A), or classification under clause (ii), (iii), or (iv) of section 204(a)(1)(B), in any case in which there is a connection between—
 - (1) the alien's having been battered or subjected to extreme cruelty; and
 - (2) the alien's--
 - (A) removal;

- (B) departure from the United States;
- (C) reentry or reentries into the United States; or
- (D) attempted reentry into the United States.

The applicant and counsel, by indicating on the Form I-212 that the applicant resides in Mexico, assert that the applicant has remained outside the United States and lived in Mexico since he was removed on October 26, 2005.¹

The AAO notes that the applicant is inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II), for being unlawfully present in the United States for more than one year, from April 1, 1997, the date on which unlawful presence provisions were enacted, and October 15, 2003, the date on which he filed an affirmative Application to Register Permanent Residence or Adjust Status (Form I-485), and is seeking admission within ten years of his last departure. The applicant is also inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(I) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(2)(A)(i)(I), for being convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude. To seek a waiver of these grounds of inadmissibility under sections 212(a)(9)(B)(v) and 212(h) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. §§ 1182(a)(9)(B)(v) and 1182(h), an applicant must file an Application for Waiver of Ground of Inadmissibility (Form I-601).

As required by 8 C.F.R. § 212.2(d), an immigrant visa applicant who is outside the United States and requires both a waiver and permission to reapply for admission must simultaneously file the Form I-601 and the Form I-212 with the U.S. Consulate having jurisdiction over the applicant's place of residence. As the applicant has not complied with the regulatory requirements for filing the Form I-212, the application in this matter was improperly filed. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.

¹ The AAO notes that if it is later confirmed that the applicant illegally reentered the United States at any time after his 2003 removal, he is inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(C)(i) of the Act and is ineligible for permission to reapply for admission until he has remained outside the United States for a period of ten years. *See Matter of Torres-Garcia*, 23 I&N Dec. 866 (BIA 2006) and *Gonzales v. DHS (Gonzales II)*, 508 F.3d 1227 (9th Cir. 2007).