

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Office of Administrative Appeals, MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

H4

[REDACTED]

FILE:

[REDACTED]

Office: CHICAGO, IL

Date:

JUL 15 2010

IN RE:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after  
Deportation or Removal under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and  
Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Field Office Director, Chicago, Illinois, denied the Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal (Form I-212) and it is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The field office director's decision will be withdrawn and the application remanded for entry of a new decision.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who, on December 1, 1994, was placed into immigration proceedings for entering the United States without inspection in January 1991. On December 13, 1994, the immigration judge ordered the applicant removed from the United States. On December 13, 1994, the applicant was removed from the United States and returned to Mexico.

On May 22, 1997, the applicant filed an Application to Register Permanent Residence or Adjust Status (Form I-485) based on a Petition for Alien Relative (Form I-130) filed on his behalf by his U.S. citizen spouse. The Form I-485 indicates that the applicant reentered the United States without inspection in February 1995. On June 30, 1998, the applicant filed an Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility (Form I-601) and the Form I-212 indicating that he resided in the United States. On May 26, 2005, the Form I-485, Form I-601 and Form I-212 were denied. The applicant filed an appeal of the denial of the Form I-601 with the AAO. On December 23, 2008, the AAO granted the applicant's Form I-601. On January 7, 2009, the Form I-485 and Form I-212 were reopened. On September 21, 2009, the Form I-485 was again denied. The applicant is inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(A)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(ii). He seeks permission to reapply for admission into the United States under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii) in order to reside in the United States with his U.S. citizen spouse and two U.S. citizen children.

The field office director determined that the applicant is inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(C)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(C)(i), for illegally reentering the United States after having been removed. The field office director determined that the applicant was not eligible to apply for permission to reapply for admission because he had not remained outside the United States for the required ten years. The field office director denied the Form I-212 accordingly. *See Field Office Director's Decision*, dated September 21, 2009.

On appeal, counsel contends that the field office director erred in finding the applicant inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(C) of the Act. *See Counsel's Brief*, dated November 10, 2009. In support of her contentions, counsel submits the referenced brief and copies of documentation already in the record. The entire record was reviewed in rendering a decision in this case.

Section 212(a)(9) of the Act states in pertinent part:

(A) Certain aliens previously removed.-

- (i) Arriving aliens.- Any alien who has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1) or at the end of proceedings under section 240 initiated upon the alien's arrival in the United States and who again seeks admission within five years of the date of such removal (or within 20 years in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.

- (ii) Other aliens.-Any alien not described in clause (i) who-
  - (I) has been ordered removed under section 240 or any other provision of law, or
  - (II) departed the United States while an order of removal was outstanding, and who seeks admission within 10 years of the date of such alien's departure or removal (or within 20 years of such date in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.
- (iii) Exception.- Clauses (i) and (ii) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission within a period if, prior to the date of the alien's reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be admitted from foreign contiguous territory, the [Secretary of Homeland Security] has consented to the alien's reapplying for admission.

....

(C) Aliens unlawfully present after previous immigration violations.-

- (i) In general.-Any alien who-
  - (I) has been unlawfully present in the United States for an aggregate period of more than 1 year, or
  - (II) has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1), section 240, or any other provision of law, and who enters or attempts to reenter the United States without being admitted is inadmissible.

(ii) Exception.

Clause (i) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission more than 10 years after the date of the alien's last departure from the United States if, prior to the alien's reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be readmitted from a foreign contiguous territory, the Secretary of Homeland Security has consented to the alien's reapplying for admission.

(iii) Waiver

The Secretary of Homeland Security may waive the application of clause (i) in the case of an alien who is a VAWA self-petitioner if there is a connection between—

- (I) the alien's battering or subsection to extreme cruelty; and
- (II) the alien's removal, departure from the United States, reentry or reentries into the United States; or attempted reentry into the United States.

The record in this matter establishes that the applicant was removed from the United States on December 13, 1994 and the Form I-485 indicates that he last returned to the United States without being admitted in February 1995. In order to be found inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(C) of the Act, an applicant, while he or she may have been ordered removed prior to April 1, 1997, must have either entered or attempted to reenter the United States without being admitted on or after April 1, 1997, the effective date of the Illegal Immigration and Immigrant Responsibility Act (IIRIRA). The evidence in the record does not establish that the applicant entered or attempted to reenter the United States without being admitted on or after April 1, 1997. Accordingly, the record does not establish that the applicant is inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(C) of the Act; however, the applicant is clearly inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(A)(ii) of the Act and must receive permission to reapply for admission.<sup>1</sup>

Since the applicant is *eligible* to apply for permission to reapply for admission to the United States, the AAO withdraws the decision of the field office director to deny the applicant's Form I-212 on the basis that the applicant is ineligible for relief under section 212(a)(9)(C) of the Act. The matter shall be remanded to the field office director for a full adjudication of the application on the merits.<sup>2</sup>

**ORDER:** The field office director's decision is withdrawn. The application is remanded to the field office director for entry of a new decision that, if adverse to the applicant, shall be certified to the AAO for review.

---

<sup>1</sup> The AAO notes, however, that U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (USICE) may reinstate the applicant's prior removal order under section 241(a)(5) of the Act, even though he reentered prior to April 1, 1997, since section 241(a)(5) of the Act has been found to not be impermissibly retroactive. See *Fernandez-Vargas v. Gonzales*, 548 U.S. 30, 126 S. Ct. 2422 (U.S. 2006); *Labojewski v. Gonzalez*, 407 F. 3d 814 at 822 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2005).

<sup>2</sup> The AAO notes that this decision has no bearing on whether the applicant does or does not warrant a favorable exercise of discretion. The AAO's decision merely withdraws the director's stated basis for the denial of the application and directs the director to review the applicant's Form I-212 and supporting documentation to determine whether the applicant warrants a favorable exercise of discretion.