

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



H4


Date: **AUG 22 2011** Office: BALTIMORE, MD

FILE:

IN RE:

APPLICATION: Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew,
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The District Director, Baltimore, Maryland, denied the Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal (Form I-212) and it is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party must file the appeal on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) with the appropriate filing fee, which may be accompanied by a brief and/or additional evidence. In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party must file the complete appeal within 30 days of service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b). The date of filing is not the date of mailing, but the date of actual receipt. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(7)(i).

The record reflects that, on February 24, 2010, the district director found that the applicant did not warrant a favorable exercise of discretion and denied the Form I-212 accordingly. *Decision of the District Director*, dated February 24, 2010. It is noted that the district director properly gave notice to the applicant that he had 30 days to file the appeal (33 days if mailed). U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) received the complete appeal on April 9, 2010, or 44 days after the decision was issued. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed.

Neither the Immigration and Nationality Act nor the pertinent regulations grant the AAO or the district director authority to extend the 33-day time limit for filing an appeal. As the appeal was untimely filed, the appeal must be rejected. Nevertheless, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, in this case, the district director, Baltimore, Maryland. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii).

The matter will therefore be returned to the district director. If the district director determines that the late appeal meets the requirements of a motion, the motion shall be granted and a new decision will be issued.¹

As the appeal was untimely filed, the appeal must be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.

¹ The applicant is inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II), for accruing more than one year of unlawful presence in the United States, from May 20, 2004, the date on which the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA) dismissed the applicant's appeal, until April 4, 2007, the date on which he departed the United States, and he is seeking admission within ten years of his last departure. To seek a waiver of this ground of inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v), an applicant must file an Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility (Form I-601). The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 212.2(d) requires an immigrant visa applicant who is outside the United States and requires both a waiver and permission to reapply for admission to simultaneously file the Form I-601 and the Form I-212 with the U.S. Consulate having jurisdiction over the applicant's place of residence. Therefore, the Form I-212 was inappropriately filed with the Baltimore District Office.