


identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U. S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



tt4

FILE:



Office: ROME, ITALY

Date:

JAN 24 2011


IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal under Section 212(a)(9)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Field Office Director, Rome, Italy denied the Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal (Form I-212) and it is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be sustained.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Morocco who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(A)(ii)(I) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(ii)(I), for having been ordered removed from the United States and seeking readmission within ten years of his removal. The applicant is married to a United States citizen and seeks a waiver of inadmissibility in order to reside in the United States with his spouse.

The Field Office Director denied the Form I-212 as a matter of discretion based on his denial of the applicant's Form I-601, Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility. *Decision of the Field Office Director*, dated May 5, 2010.

On appeal, counsel states that the Field Office Director failed to make any meaningful analysis of evidence presented in the applicant's application. *Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion*.

Section 212(a)(9)(A) of the Act states in pertinent part:

(A) Certain aliens previously removed.-

. . .

(ii) Other aliens. - Any alien not described in clause (i) who-

(I) has been ordered removed under section 240 or any other provision of law, or

(II) departed the United States while an order of removal was outstanding, and seeks admission within 10 years of the date of such alien's departure or removal (or within 20 years of such date in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an aliens convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.

(iii) Exception.- Clauses (i) and (ii) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission within a period if, prior to the date of the alien's reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be admitted from foreign contiguous territory, the Attorney General [now Secretary, Homeland Security, "Secretary"] has consented to the alien's reapplying for admission.

In the present case, the record indicates that the applicant was admitted to the United States on October 9, 1998 with a B-2 visa with permission to remain until April 2, 1999. *Form I-213, Record of Deportable/Inadmissible Alien*, dated January 28, 2003; *Form I-265, Notice to Appear*; *Form I-94, Departure Card*. The applicant remained in the United States, overstaying his permission to stay. The applicant was placed into immigration proceedings before an immigration judge and on

October 6, 2003, the immigration judge granted the applicant voluntary departure on or before February 3, 2004. *Order of the Immigration Judge*, dated October 6, 2003. The applicant did not voluntarily depart the United States and on October 30, 2008 was arrested by immigration authorities. *Form I-213, Record of Deportable/Inadmissible Alien*, dated October 30, 2008. In December 2008, the applicant was removed from the United States. *Form OF-194, Refusal Worksheet*, dated December 10, 2009. As such, the applicant is inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(A) of the Act and must request permission to reapply for admission.

In *Matter of Tin*, 14 I&N Dec. 371 (Reg. Comm. 1973), the Regional Commissioner listed the following factors to be considered in the adjudication of a Form I-212 Application for Permission to Reapply After Deportation:

The basis for deportation; recency of deportation; length of residence in the United States; applicant's moral character; his respect for law and order; evidence of reformation and rehabilitation; family responsibilities; any inadmissibility under other sections of law; hardship involved to himself and others; and the need for his services in the United States.

In *Matter of Tin*, the Regional Commissioner noted that the applicant had gained an equity (job experience) while being unlawfully present in the U.S. The Regional Commissioner then stated that the alien had obtained an advantage over aliens seeking visa issuance abroad or who abide by the terms of their admission while in this country, and he concluded that approval of an application for permission to reapply for admission would condone the alien's acts and could encourage others to enter the United States to work unlawfully. *Id.*

Matter of Lee, 17 I&N Dec. 275 (Comm. 1978) further held that a record of immigration violations, standing alone, did not conclusively support a finding of a lack of good moral character. *Matter of Lee* at 278. *Lee* additionally held that,

[T]he recency of deportation can only be considered when there is a finding of poor moral character based on moral turpitude in the conduct and attitude of a person which evinces a callous conscience [toward the violation of immigration laws] In all other instances when the cause of deportation has been removed and the person now appears eligible for issuance of a visa, the time factor should not be considered. *Id.*

The Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals held in *Garcia-Lopes v. INS*, 923 F.2d 72 (7th Cir. 1991), that less weight is given to equities acquired after a deportation order has been entered. Further, the equity of a marriage and the weight given to any hardship to the spouse is diminished if the parties married after the commencement of deportation proceedings, with knowledge that the alien might be deported. It is also noted that the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, in *Carnalla-Nunoz v. INS*, 627 F.2d 1004 (9th Cir. 1980), held that an after-acquired equity, referred to as an after-acquired family tie in *Matter of Tijam*, 22 I&N Dec. 408 (BIA 1998) need not be accorded great weight by the district director in considering discretionary weight. Moreover, in *Ghassan v. INS*, 972 F.2d 631, 634-35 (5th Cir. 1992), the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals held that giving diminished weight to

hardship faced by a spouse who entered into a marriage with knowledge of the alien's possible deportation was proper. The AAO finds these cited legal decisions to establish the general principle that "after-acquired equities" are accorded less weight for purposes of assessing favorable equities in the exercise of discretion.

A grant of permission to reapply for admission is a discretionary decision based on the weighing of negative and positive factors. The adverse factors in the present case are the applicant's prior unlawful presence and his unauthorized employment while in the United States. The favorable and mitigating factors are his United States citizen spouse, extreme hardship to his spouse if he were refused admission, and his lack of a criminal record.

While the applicant's actions cannot be condoned, the AAO finds that given all the circumstances of the present case, the applicant has established that the favorable factors outweigh the unfavorable factors, and that a favorable exercise of the Secretary's discretion is warranted. Accordingly, the appeal will be sustained.

ORDER: The appeal is sustained.