

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



H4

FILE:



Office: SAN ANTONIO, TX

Date:

MAR 01 2011

IN RE:



APPLICATION: Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after
Deportation or Removal under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and
Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew,
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Field Office Director, San Antonio, Texas, denied the Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal (Form I-212) and it is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The record reflects that, on August 26, 2009, the field office director found that the applicant did not warrant a favorable exercise of discretion and denied the Form I-212 accordingly. *Decision of the Field Office Director*, dated August 26, 2009.

In accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(7)(i), an application received in a U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) office shall be stamped to show the time and date of actual receipt, if it is properly signed, executed, and accompanied by the correct fee. For calculating the date of filing, the appeal shall be regarded as properly filed on the date that it is so stamped by the service center or district office. In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party must file the complete appeal within 30 days of service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b). Neither the Immigration and Nationality Act nor the pertinent regulations grant the AAO or the field office director authority to extend the 33-day time limit for filing an appeal.

As stated above, the record indicates that the field office director issued his latest decision on August 26, 2009. According to the date stamp on the Form I-290B Notice of Appeal, it was received by USCIS on September 30, 2009, or 35 days after the decision was issued.¹ Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. An untimely-filed appeal must meet specific requirements to be treated as a motion. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2) requires that a motion to reopen state the new facts to be provided in the reopened proceeding, supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Furthermore, 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(3) requires that a motion to reconsider must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions to establish that the decision was based on an incorrect application of law or USCIS policy.

Here, the untimely appeal does not meet the requirements of a motion to reopen because the Form I-212 is improperly filed since the applicant is inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II), for accruing more than one year of unlawful presence in the United States, from April 1, 1997, the date on which unlawful presence provisions were enacted, until August 8, 2008, the date on which he was removed from the United States, and he is seeking admission within ten years of his last departure.² To seek a waiver of this ground of inadmissibility

¹ The AAO notes that the Form I-290B was subsequently returned for re-filing with the correct filing fee and was resubmitted with the correct filing fee on October 27, 2009. Resubmission of the Form I-290B with the correct filing fee does not affect the fact that the applicant untimely filed the Form I-290B before it was returned for failure to file the correct filing fee. As discussed above, the field office director does not have authority to extend the 33-day time limit for filing of the appeal.

² The AAO notes that, if it is later found that the applicant illegally reentered the United States at any time after his 2008 departure, he is inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(C)(i) of the Act and is ineligible for permission to reapply for

under section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v), an applicant must file an Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility (Form I-601). 8 C.F.R. § 212.2(d) requires an immigrant visa applicant who is outside the United States and requires both a waiver and permission to reapply for admission must simultaneously file the Form I-601 and the Form I-212 with the U.S. Consulate having jurisdiction over the applicant's place of residence. Therefore, there is no requirement to treat the appeal as a motion under 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2).

As the appeal was untimely filed and does not qualify as a motion, the appeal must be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.