

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



H4


Date **MAY 18 2012** Office: ROME, ITALY

FILE:

IN RE: APPLICANT:

APPLICATION: Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the AAO inappropriately applied the law in reaching its decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen with the field office or service center that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. The specific requirements for filing such a motion can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file any motion directly with the AAO.** Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires any motion to be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew,
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Field Office Director, Rome, Italy, denied the Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal (Form I-212) and it is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record reflects that the applicant is a native and citizen [REDACTED] who was ordered removed *in absentia* by an immigration judge [REDACTED]. The Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA) dismissed the appeal, and the applicant was removed from the United States [REDACTED]. The applicant is inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(A)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(ii). He seeks permission to reapply for admission into the United States under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii) in order to reside in the United States with his U.S. Citizen wife and child.

The Field Office Director determined that because the applicant's I-601 waiver application was denied no purpose would be served in approving the I-212 application and denied the Form I-212 accordingly. *See Field Office Director's Decision*, [REDACTED].

On appeal counsel for the applicant indicate that the extreme hardship to the applicant's spouse, when viewed with the other favorable factors in the case, call for a favorable exercise of discretion and therefore the approval of the I-212 application.

The record includes, but is not limited to, the documents listed above, statements from the applicant and his spouse, documentation of removal and criminal proceedings, evidence of birth, marriage, divorce, residence, and citizenship, medical records, financial documents, educational records, letters from friends, family, employers, and the community, police clearance certificates, other applications and petitions filed on behalf of the applicant, articles on country conditions in Tunisia, and photographs. The entire record was reviewed and considered in rendering a decision on the appeal.

Section 212(a)(9) of the Act states in pertinent part:

(A) Certain aliens previously removed.-

- (i) Arriving aliens.- Any alien who has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1) or at the end of proceedings under section 240 initiated upon the alien's arrival in the United States and who again seeks admission within five years of the date of such removal (or within 20 years in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.
- (ii) Other aliens.- Any alien not described in clause (i) who-
 - (I) has been ordered removed under section 240 or any other provision of law, or

- (II) departed the United States while an order of removal was outstanding, and who seeks admission within 10 years of the date of such alien's departure or removal (or within 20 years of such date in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.
- (iii) Exception.- Clauses (i) and (ii) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission within a period if, prior to the date of the alien's reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be admitted from foreign contiguous territory, the Secretary has consented to the alien's reapplying for admission.

The record reflects that the applicant was admitted to the United States [REDACTED] pursuant to a B-2 nonimmigrant visa. The applicant remained [REDACTED] the date of his authorized stay. He was arrested for trafficking food stamps, and he was issued a Notice to Appear in immigration proceedings [REDACTED]. The applicant was removed *in absentia* by an immigration judge [REDACTED]. The BIA dismissed his subsequent appeal [REDACTED]. The applicant was then removed from the United States [REDACTED]. The applicant is inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(A)(ii) of the Act and requires permission to reapply for admission into the United States under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act.

The AAO notes that the Field Office Director denied the applicant's Form I-212 Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States After Deportation or Removal (Form I-212) in the same decision as the Form I-601 application. The AAO has dismissed the appeal of the Form I-601 application. *Matter of Martinez-Torres*, 10 I&N Dec. 776 (reg. Comm. 1964) held that an application for permission to reapply for admission is denied, in the exercise of discretion, to an alien who is mandatorily inadmissible to the United States under another section of the Act, and no purpose would be served in granting the application. As the applicant remains inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Act no purpose would be served in granting the applicant's Form I-212.

Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361, provides that the burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish he is eligible for the benefit sought. Here, the applicant has not met that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.