




**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

(b)(6)



Date:


FEB 22 2013

Office: LOS ANGELES

FILE:




IN RE:



APPLICATION:

Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Maria Yeh

Ron Rosenberg

Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Field Office Director, Los Angeles, California, denied the Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal (Form I-212) and it is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record reflects that the applicant is a native and citizen of Pakistan who was found to be inadmissible to the United States under section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), for attempting to procure admission to the United States through fraud or misrepresentation. The applicant is also found to be inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(A)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(ii). He seeks permission to reapply for admission into the United States under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii) in order to reside in the United States with his lawful resident mother.

The Field Office Director found that the applicant failed to establish that his qualifying relative would experience extreme hardship as a consequence of his inadmissibility and denied the application for Waiver of Ground of Inadmissibility (Form I-601). The Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission (Form I-212) was also denied as a matter of discretion. *See Decision of the Field Office Director* dated June 4, 2010.

On appeal counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant has established extreme hardship to his lawful resident mother, thus making him eligible for a waiver of inadmissibility. The entire record was reviewed and considered in rendering this decision.

Section 212(a)(9) of the Act states in pertinent part:

(A) Certain aliens previously removed.-

(i) Arriving aliens.- Any alien who has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1) or at the end of proceedings under section 240 initiated upon the alien's arrival in the United States and who again seeks admission within five years of the date of such removal (or within 20 years in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.

(ii) Other aliens.- Any alien not described in clause (i) who-

(I) has been ordered removed under section 240 or any other provision of law, or

(II) departed the United States while an order of removal was outstanding, and who seeks admission

within 10 years of the date of such alien's departure or removal (or within 20 years of such date in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.

- (iii) Exception.- Clauses (i) and (ii) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission within a period if, prior to the date of the alien's reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be admitted from foreign contiguous territory, the Secretary has consented to the alien's reapplying for admission.

The record reflects that in July 1991 the applicant attempted to enter the United States by providing a Pakistani passport with a fraudulent I-551 conditional permanent resident stamp. The applicant was placed in exclusion proceedings and deported from the United States pursuant an order by the Immigration Judge in October 1991. The applicant subsequently attempted to reenter the United States with a K-1 visa in June 1993, was placed in deferred inspection and then exclusion proceedings before being paroled into the United States. The applicant is, therefore, inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(A)(ii) of the Act and requires permission to reapply for admission into the United States under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act.

The applicant was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), for attempting to enter the United States through fraud or misrepresentation. The field office director concluded that the applicant failed to establish that extreme hardship would be imposed on a qualifying relative and denied the applicant's Form I-601 accordingly. See *Decision of the Field Office Director*, dated June 4, 2010.

In a separate decision, the AAO dismissed an appeal of the denial of the applicant's Form I-601. *Matter of Martinez-Torres*, 10 I&N Dec. 776 (reg. Comm. 1964) held that an application for permission to reapply for admission is denied, in the exercise of discretion, to an alien who is mandatorily inadmissible to the United States under another section of the Act, and no purpose would be served in granting the application. As the applicant is inadmissible under section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Act, no purpose would be served in granting the applicant's Form I-212.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.