




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

(b)(6)




Date: **MAY 13 2014**

Office: LAS VEGAS, NV

FILE: 


IN RE:

Applicant: 

APPLICATION:

Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal under Section 212(a)(9)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Field Office Director, Las Vegas, Nevada, denied the Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal (Form I-212) and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who was ordered deported by an Immigration Judge on January 11, 1996. The applicant is married to a U.S. citizen and seeks permission to reenter the United States after his removal in order to reside with his wife in the United States.

The field office director found that the applicant remains inadmissible under section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Act for willful misrepresentation of a material fact in order to obtain an immigration benefit and denied the application as moot.

On appeal, counsel requests that the applicant be permitted to reenter the United States once his Form I-601 waiver application is approved.

In a separate decision, the field office director denied the applicant's Form I-601 waiver application which the applicant filed in relation to his inadmissibility under 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Act. The AAO has dismissed the applicant's appeal of that decision.

Section 212(a)(9) of the Act states in pertinent part:

(A) Certain aliens previously removed.-

(i) Arriving aliens.- Any alien who has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1) or at the end of proceedings under section 240 initiated upon the alien's arrival in the United States and who again seeks admission within five years of the date of such removal (or within 20 years in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.

(ii) Other aliens.- Any alien not described in clause (i) who-

(I) has been ordered removed under section 240 or any other provision of law, or

(II) departed the United States while an order of removal was outstanding, and who seeks admission within 10 years of the date of such alien's departure or removal (or within 20 years of such date in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.

(iii)Exception.- Clauses (i) and (ii) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission within a period if, prior to the date of the alien's reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be admitted from foreign contiguous territory, the Secretary has consented to the alien's reapplying for admission.

Based on his removal on January 11, 1996 the applicant is inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(A) of the Act and requires permission to reenter the United States. Counsel does not contest this on appeal.

Matter of Martinez-Torres, 10 I&N Dec. 776 (reg. Comm. 1964), held that an application for permission to reapply for admission is denied, in the exercise of discretion, to an alien who is mandatorily inadmissible to the United States under another section of the Act, and that no purpose would be served in granting the application. In this case, the applicant is subject to the provisions of section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Act and was denied a waiver. The AAO has dismissed the applicant's appeal of that decision. The appeal of the denial of the application for permission to reapply for admission is dismissed as a matter of discretion as its approval would not result in the applicant's admissibility to the United States.

In application proceedings, it is the applicant's burden to establish eligibility for the immigration benefit sought. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, that burden has not been met.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.