



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

(b)(6)

DATE: **MAY 08 2015** Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER

FILE: [REDACTED]

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal under Section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case. This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions.

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permission to reapply for admission after removal was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant is a native and a citizen of Mexico who entered the United States without inspection on multiple occasions and was unlawfully present in this country. As a result he was found inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(C)(i)(I) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(C)(i)(I). The applicant also was found inadmissible under section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), because he sought to enter the United States using a fraudulent visa.

In addition to filing Form I-212, Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal (Form I-212), the applicant filed a Form I-601, Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility, which was denied because the applicant did not show he had a qualifying relative. The Director determined that since the applicant remained inadmissible under section 212(a)(6)(C) of the Act, no purpose would be served by approving his Form I-212. The Director denied Form I-212 as a matter of discretion.

The applicant's Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B), was prepared by a representative working for an immigration service provider who asserts he is accredited by the Board of Immigration Appeals. Although the appeal is accompanied by a Form G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance by an Attorney or Representative, the representative and immigration service provider have not established that the representative is a licensed attorney or an accredited representative authorized to undertake representations on the petitioner's behalf. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 292.1. Accordingly, the applicant will be considered self-represented in this proceeding.

Moreover, the applicant does not provide a reason for his appeal with his Form I-290B. He submits no new evidence or information and does not dispute or otherwise address the grounds upon which his Form I-212 was denied.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v) states in pertinent part that:

(v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

We find that the applicant's appeal fails to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the Director's decision. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.