



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

**Non-Precedent Decision of the
Administrative Appeals Office**

MATTER OF H-R-R-G-

DATE: SEPT. 17, 2015

APPEAL OF NEWARK FIELD OFFICE DECISION

APPLICATION: FORM I-212, APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO REAPPLY FOR
ADMISSION INTO THE UNITED STATES AFTER DEPORTATION OR
REMOVAL

The Applicant, a citizen and resident of Honduras, seeks permission to reapply for admission into the United States after deportation. *See* Section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) INA. The Field Office Director, Newark, denied the application. The matter is now before us on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

On appeal, signed March 26, 2015, the Applicant states that a brief and/or additional evidence would be submitted within 30 days of filing the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion. Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(vii) and (viii), an affected party may request additional time to file a brief, which is to be submitted directly to the AAO. We are not in receipt of an appeal brief and/or additional evidence. The Form I-290B does not contain any statements regarding the denial of the applicant's Form I-212. Accordingly, the Applicant did not discuss the Director's analysis or identify any legal or factual errors.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1) states in pertinent part:

- (v) *Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

We find that the Applicant's appeal fails to specifically identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the Director's decision. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.

Cite as *Matter of H-R-R-G-*, ID# 14173 (AAO Sept. 17, 2015)