



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

**Non-Precedent Decision of the
Administrative Appeals Office**

MATTER OF H-O-B-

DATE: JAN. 21, 2016

APPEAL OF SAN BERNARDINO FIELD OFFICE DECISION

APPLICATION: FORM I-212, APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO REAPPLY FOR
ADMISSION INTO THE UNITED STATES AFTER DEPORTATION OR
REMOVAL

The Applicant, a native and citizen of Mexico, seeks permission to reapply for admission into the United States. *See* Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act) § 212(a)(9)(C)(ii), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(C)(ii). The Field Office Director, San Bernardino, California, denied the application. The matter is now before us on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

On Part 3 of the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, the Applicant indicated that she was filing an appeal to this office. The Applicant further indicated on the Form I-290B that she would file a brief and/or additional evidence with this office within 30 days. Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(vii) and (viii), an affected party may request additional time to file a brief, which is to be submitted directly to this office. We have not received any additional documents, nor were any statements made on the Form I-290B regarding the denial of the Applicant's Form I-212, Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission Into the United States After Deportation or Removal.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1) states in pertinent part:

(v) *Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

We find that the Applicant's appeal does not identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the Director's decision. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

Cite as *Matter of H-O-B-*, ID# 15840 (AAO Jan. 21, 2016)