identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

#5

[redacted]

FILE: [redacted] Office: MEXICO CITY (CIUDAD JUAREZ) Date: JUL 2 6 2010

IN RE: Applicant: J[redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of $585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen, as required by 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

Thank You,

Anyum Sikka for

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the District Director, Mexico City. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record reflects that the applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), for willful misrepresentation of a material fact in order to procure an immigration benefit. The record also shows that the applicant was removed from the United States on April 1, 2004. The applicant is the son of a naturalized U.S. citizen and filed an Application for Waiver of Ground of Excludability (Form I-601) pursuant to section 212(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i), in order to reside with his father in the United States. The applicant also filed an Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission Into the United States After Deportation or Removal (Form I-212).

The district director found that the applicant failed to establish extreme hardship to a qualifying relative and denied the Form I-601 and Form I-212 applications accordingly. *Decision of the District Director*, dated December 13, 2007.

On appeal, the applicant stated, "I believe that I am eligible under section 212(a)(5)(A) for my application I-212 that I am appealing. I would like an opportunity to show my extreme hardship concerning my re-entry into the United State[s]. Including the hardship to my U.S. Citizen father, that this situation is causing him. With all my respect, please allow me to [submit] my brief during the next 30 days." *Notice of Appeal (Form I-290B)*, dated January 11, 2008. The applicant submitted a letter written in Spanish.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(3) requires that any document containing foreign language submitted to United States Citizenship and Immigration Services be accompanied by a full English language translation which the translator has certified as complete and accurate, and by the translator's certification that he or she is competent to translate from the foreign language into English. Because the letter the applicant submitted has not been translated into English, it cannot be considered.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

> *Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The applicant's appeal fails to specifically identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the district director's decision. Accordingly, the appeal is summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.