

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy


PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

115




Date: **JUN 27 2011** Office: PORTLAND, OR

FILE:

IN RE: Applicant:

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the Field Office Director, Portland, Oregon. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record reflects that the applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), for fraud or willful misrepresentation of a material fact in order to procure an immigration benefit, and section 212(a)(7)(A)(i)(I) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(7)(A)(i)(I), for not possessing proper documentation to enter or remain in the United States. The record further shows that the applicant was removed from the United States on April 14, 1999, April 29, 2009, November 14, 2009, and again on November 16, 2009. The applicant is married to a U.S. citizen and seeks a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i), in order to reside with her husband and children in the United States.

The field office director found that the applicant failed to establish extreme hardship to her spouse. The field office director also found that the applicant demonstrates a continued disregard for, and abuse of, the laws of the United States. The field office director denied the waiver application accordingly. *Decision of the Field Office Director*, dated February 11, 2009.

On appeal, counsel contends, *inter alia*, that the field office director failed to consider all of the hardships and positive equities in the case.

After a careful review of the record, the AAO finds that the applicant is ineligible for a waiver. Section 212(a)(9) of the Act states in pertinent part:

....

(C) Aliens unlawfully present after previous immigration violations. -

(i) In general. - Any alien who -

(I) has been unlawfully present in the United States for an aggregate period of more than 1 year, or

(II) has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1), section 240, or any other provision of law,

and who enters or attempts to reenter the United States without being admitted is inadmissible.

(ii) Exception. - Clause (i) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission more than 10 years after the date of the alien's last departure from the United States if, prior to the alien's reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be readmitted from a foreign contiguous

territory, the Secretary of Homeland Security has consented to the alien's reapplying for admission.

(iii) Waiver. - The Secretary of Homeland Security may waive the application of clause (i) in the case of an alien who is a VAWA self-petitioner if there is a connection between--

(I) the alien's battering or subjection to extreme cruelty; and

(II) the alien's removal, departure from the United States, reentry or reentries into the United States; or attempted reentry into the United States.

An alien who is inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(C) of the Act may not apply for consent to reapply unless the alien has been outside the United States for more than 10 years since the date of the alien's last departure from the United States. *Matter of Torres-Garcia*, 23 I&N Dec. 866 (BIA 2006); *Gonzales v. Dep't of Homeland Security*, 508 F.3d 1227, 1242 (9th Cir. 2007). Thus, to avoid inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(C) of the Act, it must be the case that the applicant's last departure was at least ten years ago, the applicant has remained outside the United States, and the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) has consented to the applicant's reapplying for admission.

In this case, the record shows that the applicant was ordered removed under section 235(b)(1) and subsequently reentered the United States without admission. Therefore, the applicant is inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(C)(i)(II) of the Act. She has not remained outside the United States for ten years since her last departure. Accordingly, she is currently statutorily ineligible to apply for permission to reapply for admission and her application for permission to reapply for admission (Form I-212) has been denied. As such, no purpose would be served in adjudicating her waiver application under section 212(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i), and the appeal must be dismissed as moot.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.