U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

[redacted]

#5

Date: DEC 24 2012 Office: LOS ANGELES FILE: [redacted]

IN RE: Applicant: [redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under section 212(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i); Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal under section 212(a)(9)(C) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(C)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the AAO inappropriately applied the law in reaching its decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen in accordance with the instructions on Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of $630. The specific requirements for filing such a motion can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file any motion directly with the AAO.** Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires any motion to be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

for

Ron Rosenberg
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the Field Office Director, Los Angeles, California, and the subsequent appeal was summarily dismissed by the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The matter is now again before the AAO on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), for attempting to enter the United States through fraud or misrepresentation. The applicant also was found to be inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(C)(i)(II) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(C)(i)(II), for having been ordered removed under the Act, and for having reentered the United States without being properly admitted. The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i), and seeks permission to reapply for admission into the United States after removal in order to reside in the United States with her husband and children.

The record reflects that the applicant filed a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) on July 8, 2009. In a decision dated February 29, 2012, the AAO found that the applicant failed to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. The appeal was therefore summarily dismissed. *See Decision of the AAO,* February 29, 2012.

The applicant filed an appeal of this decision on March 27, 2012, stating that a brief had been submitted within 30 days to support the original appeal, and the decision of the AAO summarily dismissing the appeal was therefore erroneous. The applicant stated that proof of the submission, along with a brief, would be submitted to the AAO within 30 days. *Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B),* dated March 27, 2012. However, the AAO notes that no proof of the original brief submitted with the first appeal was received, and no brief and/or additional documents were received. Therefore, the record is considered complete.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)states in pertinent part:

> (v) *Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The AAO finds that the applicant's appeal fails to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the field office director's decision. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.