

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



715

Date: **MAR 06 2012** Office: LOS ANGELES, CA

FILE:



IN RE:



APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(i) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the Field Office Director, Los Angeles, California. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who was found to be inadmissible to the United States under section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), for attempting to enter the United States on October 11, 1999 using an alien registration card that did not belong to her. The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i), in order to live in the United States with her family.

The Field Office Director found that the applicant failed to establish that her qualifying relative would experience extreme hardship as a consequence of her inadmissibility. The application was denied accordingly. *See Decision of Field Office Director* dated June 23, 2009.

USCIS records reflect that the applicant sought to enter the United States using an alien registration card belonging to someone else on October 11, 1999, and was placed in expedited removal proceedings and removed from the United States on October 12, 1999. The applicant currently resides in the United States. After her removal on October 12, 1999, the applicant reentered the United States without inspection and she did not remain outside the United States for a period of five years after her 1999 removal.¹ Further, there is no evidence in the record to establish that the alien obtained lawful admission to the United States upon her reentry. The applicant has not contested her inadmissibility. As a result of her misrepresentation, the applicant is inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Act.

An application or petition that fails to comply with the technical requirements of the law may be denied by the AAO even if the field office does not identify all of the grounds for denial in the initial decision. *See Spencer Enterprises, Inc. v. United States*, 229 F. Supp. 2d 1025, 1043 (E.D. Cal. 2001), *aff'd*, 345 F.3d 683 (9th Cir. 2003); see also *Soltane v. DOJ*, 381 F.3d 143, 145 (3d Cir. 2004) (noting that the AAO conducts appellate review on a *de novo* basis).

Section 212(a)(9)(C)(i) of the Act states, in pertinent part:

(C) Aliens unlawfully present after previous immigration violations.-

(i) In general.-Any alien who-

(I) has been unlawfully present in the United States for an aggregate period of more than 1 year, or

¹ Although it is not clear when the applicant reentered the United States, the Field Office Director's decision indicates that she testified during her adjustment of status interview that she reentered without inspection the same month she was removed, October 1999.

(II) has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1), section 240, or any other provision of law, and who enters or attempts to reenter the United States without being admitted is inadmissible.

(ii) Exception.- Clause (i) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission more than 10 years after the date of the alien's last departure from the United States if, prior to the alien's reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be readmitted from a foreign contiguous territory, the Secretary has consented to the alien's reapplying for admission. The Secretary, in the Secretary's discretion, may waive the provisions of section 212(a)(9)(C)(i) in the case of an alien to whom the Secretary has granted classification under clause (iii), (iv), or (v) of section 204(a)(1)(A), or classification under clause (ii), (iii), or (iv) of section 204(a)(1)(B), in any case in which there is a connection between—

(1) the alien's having been battered or subjected to extreme cruelty;
and

(2) the alien's--

(A) removal;

(B) departure from the United States;

(C) reentry or reentries into the United States; or

(D) attempted reentry into the United States.

An alien who is inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(C) of the Act may not apply for consent to reapply for admission unless the alien has been outside the United States for more than 10 years since the date of the alien's last departure from the United States. *Matter of Briones*, 24 I&N Dec. 355, 358-59 (BIA 2007). To avoid inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(C) of the Act, the applicant must have departed the United States at least ten years ago, remained outside the United States during that time, and U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) must consent to the applicant's reapplying for admission. *Id.* at 358, 371; *Matter of Torres-Garcia*, 23 I&N Dec. 866, 873 (BIA 2006), *aff'd.*, *Gonzalez v. Dept. of Homeland Security*, 508 F.3d 1227, 1242 (9th Cir. 2007).

The applicant was ordered removed under section 235(b)(1) of the Act and was removed from the United States on October 12, 1999, and reentered the United States without inspection. Since she did not remain outside the United States for at least ten years after her last departure, the applicant is statutorily ineligible to seek an exception from her inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(C) of the Act and the AAO finds no purpose would be served in considering the merits of her Form I-601 waiver application under section 212(i) of the Act. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.