

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

**PUBLIC COPY**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
*Office of Administrative Appeals*  
20 Massachusetts Ave. NW MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**

tt5

DATE: **SEP 05 2012** OFFICE: DENVER, CO

FILE: A 200 435 975

IN RE:

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(B) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B), and under Section 212(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Perry Rhew".

Perry Rhew, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The waiver application was denied by the Field Office Director, Denver, Colorado, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed as unnecessary.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II), for having been unlawfully present in the United States for more than one year and seeking readmission within 10 years of her last departure. She was also found to be inadmissible to the United States under section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), for having procured a visa to the United States through fraud or misrepresentation. The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility in order to reside in the United States with her U.S. Citizen spouse.

The Field Office Director concluded that the applicant failed to establish the existence of extreme hardship to a qualifying relative and denied the application accordingly. *See Decision of Field Office Director* dated September 8, 2010.

United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) records show that, subsequent to filing the instant application, the applicant was granted lawful permanent resident status by an immigration judge on January 4, 2012. Because the applicant is now a lawful permanent resident, further pursuit of the matter at hand is unnecessary.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.