

(b)(6)



U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Office of Administrative Appeals  
20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090  
**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**

DATE: JAN 31 2013 Office: Office: NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

IN RE:

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under sections 212(a)(9)(B)(v) and 212(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. §§ 1182(a)(9)(B)(v), 1182(i)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ron Rosenberg".

Ron Rosenberg  
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The waiver application was denied by the Field Office Director, Newark, New Jersey. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that an affected party must file a complete appeal within 30 days after service of an unfavorable decision. If the decision is mailed, the 30-day period for submitting an appeal begins 3 days after it is mailed. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b). The date of filing is the date of actual receipt of the appeal, not the date of mailing. See 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(7)(i).

The record reflects that the Field Office Director sent the decision on February 21, 2012 to the applicant at the applicant's address of record. It is noted that the field office director stated that the applicant had 33 days to file an appeal. Although the applicant dated the appeal on March 21, 2012, the properly filed appeal was not received until April 2, 2012, 41 days after the decision was issued.<sup>1</sup> Therefore, the appeal was untimely filed and must be rejected.

Neither the Act nor the pertinent regulations grant the AAO authority to extend the time limit for filing an appeal. However, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) provides that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen as described in 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2) or a motion to reconsider as described in 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(3), the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case.

The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, in this case the field office director Newark, New Jersey. See 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii). The matter will therefore be returned to the field office director. If the field office director determines that the late appeal meets the requirements of a motion, the motion shall be granted and a new decision will be issued.

As the appeal was untimely filed, the appeal must be rejected.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected.

---

<sup>1</sup> The appeal Form I-290B was initially rejected on March 26, 2012, based on an incorrect fee amount included with the application. A new check was requested in the amount of \$630.00.