


**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**
PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**




HTG

FILE:



Office:



Date: AUG 18 2010

(CDJ 2005 742 439)

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Immigrant Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Tariq Syed

for
Perry Rhew

Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

of the...
...
...

...

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the Acting District Director, Mexico City, Mexico and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who was found to be inadmissible to the United States under section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II), for having been unlawfully present in the United States for more than one year and seeking readmission within ten years of his last departure from the United States. The applicant is married to a U.S. citizen and seeks a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v), in order to reside in the United States with his U.S. citizen spouse and their child.

The Acting District Director concluded that the applicant had failed to establish that extreme hardship would be imposed upon a qualifying relative and denied the Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility (Form I-601) accordingly. *Decision of the Acting District Director*, dated March 4, 2008.

On appeal, counsel contends that the applicant's qualifying relative would suffer extreme hardship should the waiver application be denied. *Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion*.

In support of these assertions the record includes, but is not limited to, a statement from the applicant's spouse; medical records for the applicant's spouse and child; Medicaid identification; a medical prescription for the applicant's child; an employment letter for the applicant's spouse; earnings statements for the applicant's spouse; bank statements; telephone bills; a lease agreement; and a utility bill. The entire record was reviewed and considered in rendering this decision.

In the present case, the record indicates that the applicant entered the United States without inspection in 1993 and voluntarily departed in January 2007, returning to Mexico. *Consular Memorandum, American Consulate General, Ciudad Juarez, Mexico*, dated February 6, 2007. The applicant, therefore, accrued unlawful presence from September 14, 1998, the date he turned 18 years old, until he departed the United States in January 2007. In applying for an immigrant visa, the applicant is seeking admission within ten years of his January 2007 departure from the United States. The applicant is, therefore, inadmissible to the United States under section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Act for being unlawfully present in the United States for a period of more than one year.

Section 212(a)(9)(B) of the Act provides, in pertinent part:

(B) Aliens Unlawfully Present.-

(i) In general. - Any alien (other than an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence) who-

....



(II) has been unlawfully present in the United States for one year or more, and who again seeks admission within 10 years of the date of such alien's departure or removal from the United States, is inadmissible.

....

(v) Waiver. – The Attorney General [now the Secretary of Homeland Security (Secretary)] has sole discretion to waive clause (i) in the case of an immigrant who is the spouse or son or daughter of a United States citizen or of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence, if it is established to the satisfaction of the Attorney General [Secretary] that the refusal of admission to such immigrant alien would result in extreme hardship to the citizen or lawfully resident spouse or parent of such alien.

An application or petition that fails to comply with the technical requirements of the law may be denied by the AAO even if the Service Center does not identify all of the grounds for denial in the initial decision. *See Spencer Enterprises, Inc. v. United States*, 229 F. Supp. 2d 1025, 1043 (E.D. Cal. 2001), *aff'd*, 345 F.3d 683 (9th Cir. 2003); *see also Soltane v. DOJ*, 381 F.3d 143, 145 (3d Cir. 2004) (noting that the AAO conducts appellate review on a *de novo* basis).

On August 5, 2008, the applicant falsely declared himself to be a United States citizen and presented a United States birth certificate at the port of entry in Hidalgo, Texas. *Form I-860, Notice and Order of Expedited Removal*, dated August 5, 2008; *Form I-867A, Record of Sword Statement in Proceedings under Section 235(b)(1) of the Act*. Thus, the applicant is inadmissible to the United States under section 212(a)(6)(C)(ii)(I) of the Act. Section 212(a)(6)(C) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that:

(i) Any alien who, by fraud or willfully misrepresenting a material fact, seeks to procure (or has sought to procure or has procured) a visa, other documentation, or admission into the United States or other benefit provided under this Act is inadmissible.

(ii) Falsely claiming citizenship.—

(I) In general.—Any alien who falsely represents, or has falsely represented, himself or herself to be a citizen of the United States for any purpose or benefit under this Act (including section 274A) or any other Federal or State law is inadmissible

Section 212(i) of the Act provides that:



- (1) The Attorney General [now the Secretary of Homeland Security (Secretary)] may, in the discretion of the Attorney General [Secretary], waive the application of clause (i) of subsection (a)(6)(C) in the case of an alien who is the spouse, son or daughter of a United States citizen or of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence, if it is established to the satisfaction of the Attorney General [Secretary] that the refusal of admission to the United States of such immigrant alien would result in extreme hardship to the citizen or lawfully resident spouse or parent of such an alien.

The AAO notes that while aliens making false claims to U.S. citizenship on or after September 30, 1996 are ineligible to apply for a Form I-601 waiver, provisions of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 afford those aliens making false claims to U.S. citizenship prior to September 30, 1996, the eligibility to apply for a waiver. *Memorandum by Joseph R. Greene, Acting Associate Commissioner, Office of Programs, Immigration and Naturalization Service*, dated April 8, 1998 at 3. The AAO finds that the applicant is not eligible for a waiver of this misrepresentation because he falsely claimed to be a U.S. citizen after September 30, 1996.¹

As there is no waiver of his inadmissibility under section 212(a)(6)(C)(ii)(I) of the Act, no purpose would be served in addressing his waiver under section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Act.

In proceedings for application for waiver of grounds of inadmissibility under section 212(i) and section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Act, the burden of proving eligibility remains entirely with the applicant. *See* Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, the applicant has not met that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.

¹ The AAO notes that the exception under section 212(a)(6)(C)(ii)(II) of the Act does not apply to this case.

