identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office, (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

[redacted]

H6

FILE: [redacted] Office: MEXICO CITY (CIUDAD JUAREZ) Date: APR 04 2011

IN RE: Applicant: [redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of $630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

for Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the Acting District Director, Mexico City, Mexico, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record reflects that the applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II), for having been unlawfully present in the United States for more than one year and seeking readmission within ten years of his last departure from the United States. The record indicates that the applicant is married to a United States citizen and is the beneficiary of an approved Petition for Alien Relative (Form I-130). The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v), in order to reside in the United States with his United States citizen wife and children.

The Acting District Director found that the applicant had failed to establish that extreme hardship would be imposed on a qualifying relative and denied the Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility (Form I-601) accordingly. *Decision of the Acting District Director*, dated October 7, 2008.

On appeal, the applicant through counsel asserts that the denial of the applicant's waiver application would result in extreme hardship to his family. *See Form I-290B*, dated November 5, 2008 and the accompanying brief in support of the appeal.

The record includes, but is not limited to, statements from the applicant's spouse, copies of financial documents, copies of the applicant's and his spouse's Earnings Statements, copies of various bills, supportive letters and statements from the applicant's spouse's employer, friends, and co-workers, including a letter from the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services, and copies of eligibility notices for Medicaid and food stamps for the applicant's children. The entire record was reviewed and considered in arriving at a decision on the appeal.

Section 212(a)(9)(B) of the Act provides, in pertinent part:

> (B) Aliens Unlawfully Present.-
>
> (i) In general.-Any alien (other than an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence) who-
>
> . . . .
>
> (II) has been unlawfully present in the United States for one year or more, and who again seeks admission within 10 years of the date of such alien's departure or removal from the United States, is inadmissible.
>
> . . . .
>
> (v) Waiver.-The Attorney General [now the Secretary of Homeland Security, "Secretary"] has sole discretion to waive clause (i) in the case of an immigrant who is the spouse or son or daughter of a United States citizen or of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence, if it is

> established to the satisfaction of the [Secretary] that the refusal of admission to such immigrant alien would result in extreme hardship to the citizen or lawfully resident spouse or parent of such alien.

In the present case, the applicant claims that he entered the United States in February 2000 without being inspected and admitted or paroled. On February 6, 2003, the applicant's United States citizen spouse filed a Form I-130 on the applicant's behalf. On May 24, 2004, the Form I-130 was approved. In October 2007, the applicant voluntarily departed the United States. On October 12, 2007, the applicant was found inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Act by a Consular Officer in Mexico, and was refused an immigrant visa. On October 17, 2007, the applicant filed a Form I-601 waiver. On October 7, 2008, the Acting District Director denied the Form I-601, finding that the applicant had failed to establish extreme hardship to a qualifying relative. The applicant accrued unlawful presence from February 2000, when he illegally entered the United States, until October 2007, when he voluntarily departed the United States. The applicant's unlawful presence for more than one year and departure from the United States triggered the ten-year bar in section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Act. Thus, the applicant is inadmissible to the United States under section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Act.

The record reflects that on December 18, 2008, the applicant was apprehended after having illegally entered the United States on December 17, 2008. The applicant was transported to the Laredo, Texas, Border Patrol Station and was placed in Expedited Removal proceedings pursuant to section 235(b)(1) of the Act. The applicant was removed from the United States to Mexico on January 15, 2009.[1] The applicant is also inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(C)(i)(I) of the Act for having been unlawfully present in the United States for an aggregate period of more than one year and reentering the United States without being inspected and admitted or paroled.

Section 212(a)(9)(C)(i) of the Act states, in pertinent part:

> (C) Aliens unlawfully present after previous immigration violations.-
>
> (i) In general.-Any alien who-
>
> (I) has been unlawfully present in the United States for an aggregate period of more than 1 year, or
>
> (II) has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1), section 240, or any other provision of law,
>
> and who enters or attempts to reenter the United States without being admitted is inadmissible.
>
> (ii) Exception.—Clause (i) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission more than 10 years after the date of the alien's last departure from the United

[1] See Notice to Alien Ordered Removed/Departure Verification (Form I-296).

> States if . . . the Attorney General [now the Secretary of Homeland Security] has consented to the alien's reapplying for admission....

An alien who is inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(C)(i)(I) of the Act may not apply for consent to reapply for admission unless the alien has been outside the United States for more than 10 years since the date of the alien's last departure from the United States. *Matter of Briones*, 24 I&N Dec. 355, 358-59 (BIA 2007). To avoid inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(C) of the Act, the applicant must have departed the United States at least ten years ago, remained outside the United States during that time, and U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) must consent to the applicant's reapplying for admission. *Id.* at 358, 371; *Matter of Torres-Garcia,* 23 I&N Dec. 866, 873 (BIA 2006), *aff'd.*, *Gonzalez v. Dept. of Homeland Security*, 508 F.3d 1227, 1242 (9th Cir. 2007). The record does not reflect that the applicant in the present matter resided outside of the United States for the required ten years prior to reentry on December 17, 2008. Accordingly, the applicant is currently statutorily ineligible to apply for permission to reapply for admission. As such no purpose would be served in adjudicating his Form I-601 waiver application under section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Act. The appeal will be dismissed.

In proceedings for application for waiver of grounds of inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(B) of the Act, the burden of proving eligibility remains entirely with the applicant. *See* section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, the applicant has not met that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.