

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals
20 Massachusetts Ave. NW MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

H6

DATE: **DEC 19 2012** OFFICE: LIMA, PERU

FILE: [REDACTED]

IN RE: APPLICANT: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(B) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the AAO inappropriately applied the law in reaching its decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen in accordance with the instructions on Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. The specific requirements for filing such a motion can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file any motion directly with the AAO.** Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires any motion to be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ron Rosenberg".

Ron Rosenberg

Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the Field Office Director, Lima, Peru. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Peru who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II), for having been unlawfully present for more than one year and seeking readmission within 10 years of his last departure. The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility in order to enter the United States and reside with his U.S. Citizen spouse.

The Field Office Director found that the applicant failed to establish extreme hardship to his U.S. citizen spouse and denied the Form I-601 application for a waiver accordingly. *Decision of the Field Office Director*, dated May 9, 2012.

On appeal, the applicant indicates he will send a brief and additional evidence in 30 days showing his spouse will suffer from extreme hardship given continued separation and in the event of relocation to Peru. *Statement from Applicant on Form I-290B*, dated May 30, 2012. No additional evidence was submitted, nor were any statements made regarding the denial of the applicant's Form I-601 waiver application.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1) states in pertinent part:

- (v) *Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The AAO finds that the applicant's appeal fails to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the Field Office Director's decision. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.