

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



Hk

Date: **OCT 15 2012**

Office: MEXICO CITY, MEXICO

FILE: 

IN RE: Applicant: 

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(B) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the AAO inappropriately applied the law in reaching its decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen in accordance with the instructions on Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. The specific requirements for filing such a motion can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file any motion directly with the AAO.** Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires any motion to be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Maria Feh

for

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the Field Office Director, Mexico City. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II), for having been unlawfully present for more than one year and seeking readmission within 10 years of her last departure. The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility in order to enter the United States and reside with his U.S. citizen wife.

The field office director found that the applicant failed to establish extreme hardship to his U.S. citizen spouse and denied the Form I-601 application for a waiver accordingly. *Decision of the Field Office Director*, dated December 13, 2010.

On appeal, the applicant states on the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, that he and his wife think that if his wife is not currently suffering extreme hardship, this does not mean she may not need the applicant's presence and support in the future.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1) states in pertinent part:

(v) *Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The AAO finds that the applicant's appeal fails to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the field office director's decision. The appeal speculates about the possibility of hardships in the future, but does not identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact by the field office director in concluding the applicant had failed to establish extreme hardship to a qualifying relative. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.