





(b)(6)

DATE: **MAY 14 2014** Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER

FILE: 

IN RE: Applicant: 

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

  
f-  
Ron Rosenberg  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The waiver application was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Guatemala who was found to be inadmissible to the United States under section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II), for having been unlawfully present in the United States for more than one year. The applicant was also found to be inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(C)(i)(I) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(C)(i)(I), for having been unlawfully present for more than one year then reentering the United States without admission. The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v), in order to reside in the United States with his lawful permanent resident spouse.

The director concluded that due to the applicant's inadmissibility he needed consent to reapply, but did not meet the requirements for consent to reapply because 10 years had not elapsed since the date of his last departure. The Application for Waiver of Ground of Inadmissibility (Form I-601) was denied accordingly. *Decision of the Director*, dated August 19, 2013.

On appeal counsel for the applicant asserts in the Notice of Appeal (Form I-290B) that at the time of the applicant's initial entry to the United States INA section 212(a)(9) did not exist, thus the bar should not apply. With the appeal counsel submits a brief. The entire record was reviewed and considered in rendering this decision.

Section 212(a)(9) of the Act provides, in pertinent part:

(B) Aliens Unlawfully Present.-

(i) In general. - Any alien (other than an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence) who-

....

(II) has been unlawfully present in the United States for one year or more, and who again seeks admission within 10 years of the date of such alien's departure or removal from the United States, is inadmissible.

....

(v) Waiver. - The Attorney General [now the Secretary of Homeland Security (Secretary)] has sole discretion to waive clause (i) in the case of an immigrant who is the spouse or son or daughter of a United States citizen or of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence, if it is established to the satisfaction of the Attorney General (Secretary) that the refusal of admission to such immigrant alien would result in extreme hardship to the citizen or lawfully resident spouse or parent of such alien...

Section 212(a)(9) of the Act states in pertinent part:

....

(C) Aliens unlawfully present after previous immigration violations.-

(i) In general.-Any alien who-

(I) has been unlawfully present in the United States for an aggregate period of more than 1 year, or

(II) has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1), section 240, or any other provision of law,

and who enters or attempts to reenter the United States without being admitted is inadmissible.

(ii) Exception.- Clause (i) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission more than 10 years after the date of the alien's last departure from the United States if, prior to the alien's reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be readmitted from a foreign contiguous territory, the Secretary has consented to the alien's reapplying for admission.

The record reflects that the applicant entered the United States without inspection in August 1995, remaining until early 2001. The applicant then reentered the United States without inspection in September 2001, remaining until November 2012. In his brief counsel asserts that the applicant entered the United States in 1995, prior to enactment of section 212(a)(9)(C) of the Act, and thus applying the 10-year bar to the applicant violates principles of retroactivity. In the present case, although the applicant entered the United States in 1995, he remained until 2001 and thus accrued more than one year of unlawful presence after the April 1, 1997 effective date of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (IIRIRA). The applicant's unlawful reentry in 2001 after accruing more than one year of unlawful presence also occurred after the effective date of IIRIRA, and section 212(a)(9)(C)(i)(I) of the Act is therefore applicable.<sup>1</sup>

An alien who is inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(C) of the Act may not apply for consent to reapply unless the alien has been outside the United States for more than 10 years since the date of the alien's last departure from the United States. See *Matter of Torres-Garcia*, 23 I&N Dec. 866 (BIA 2006); *Matter of Briones*, 24 I&N Dec. 355 (BIA 2007); and *Matter of Diaz and Lopez*, 25

---

<sup>1</sup> See Memo from Donald Neufeld, Act. Assoc. Dir., Dom. Ops., Lori Scialabba, Assoc. Dir., Refugee, Asylum and Int. Ops., Pearl Chang, Act. Chief, Off. of Pol. And Stra., U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Serv., to Field Leadership, Consolidation of Guidance Concerning Unlawful Presence for Purposes of Sections 212(a)(9)(B)(i) and 212(a)(9)(C)(i)(I) of the Act (May 6, 2009).

I&N Dec. 188 (BIA 2010). Thus, to avoid inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(C) of the Act, it must be the case that the applicant's last departure was at least 10 years ago, the applicant has remained outside the United States *and* USCIS has consented to the applicant's reapplying for admission. As the record establishes that the applicant voluntarily departed the United States in November 2012, he is currently statutorily ineligible to apply for permission to reapply for admission as 10 years have not elapsed. As such, no purpose would be served in adjudicating his waiver under section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Act.

Having found the applicant statutorily ineligible for relief at this time, no purpose would be served in discussing whether he has established extreme hardship to his lawful permanent resident spouse or whether he merits a waiver as a matter of discretion.

In application proceedings, it is the applicant's burden to establish eligibility for the immigration benefit sought. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, that burden has not been met.

ORDER:       The appeal is dismissed.