


identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



L1

FILE: [REDACTED]
MSC 05 322 11040

Office: LOS ANGELES

Date: NOV 05 2007

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Resident Status under Section 245A of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The District Director, Los Angeles, California denied the application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004 (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements), on April 11, 2007. The director reopened the decision on service motion on February 14, 2007 and again denied the application. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The director determined that the applicant had not demonstrated that she had continuously resided in the United States in an unlawful status since before January 1, 1982 through the date that she attempted to file a Form I-687, Application for Status as a Temporary Resident, with the Immigration and Naturalization Service or the Service (now Citizenship and Immigration Services or CIS) in the original legalization application period between May 5, 1987 to May 4, 1988. Therefore, the director concluded that the applicant was not eligible to adjust to temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements and denied the application.

In support of her application, the applicant submitted a June 9, 2006 notarized statement from [REDACTED] in which she stated that she met the applicant at a family gathering in 1981 when the applicant was a year old; a copy of the applicant's immunization record reflecting entries in April and May 1981 from SBVC Health Services in San Bernardino, California; and a November 16, 2006 letter from the reverend father [REDACTED], chancellor of Sacred Heart Cathedral in Sierra Leone, in which he stated that he has known the applicant's family for over twenty years. The director determined that this evidence submitted by the applicant was insufficient to establish that she resided continuously in the United States since prior to January 1, 1982.

On appeal, the applicant states she is unable to submit additional evidence because she does not have any. The applicant submits no other documentation in support of his appeal.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. The applicant has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the director's decision and has not provided any additional evidence on appeal. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.