

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**



LI

FILE:



MSC-06-095-12795

Office: DETROIT

Date:

OCT 18 2007

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for temporary resident status was denied by the Director, Detroit District Office, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application because she found the applicant was unable to present any clear and convincing evidence sufficient to overturn the Notice of Intent to Deny. Specifically, the applicant failed to provide evidence that he entered the United States before January 1, 1982; resided in a continuous unlawful status, except brief absences, from before 1982 until the date he attempted to apply for legalization; was continuously physically present in the United States, except for brief, casual, and innocent departures, from November 6, 1986 until the date he attempted to apply for legalization; and is admissible as an immigrant.

On appeal, the applicant stated that he feels his proof of his being in the United States in 1980 of his mother's identification is valid. He lived in the United States for a long time. His life is made here. The applicant requested that his case be reviewed and asked whether there is anything else he can do to prove his stay in the United States in the 1980s.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has he directly addressed the grounds stated for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.