

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

4

FILE:

MSC-04-328-10486

Office: NEW YORK

Date:

OCT 01 2008

IN RE:

Applicant:

APPLICATION:

Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.


Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004 (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements), was denied by the District Director, New York. The decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The director denied the application because the applicant had failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he had continuously resided in the United States during the requisite period. On appeal, the applicant submitted a Form I-694, Notice of Appeal of Decision Under Section 210 or 245A of the INA, signed by [REDACTED].

An applicant for temporary resident status may appeal an adverse decision on Form I-694. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.2(o). The applicant may be represented by an attorney or representative in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 292. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(iii)(B). The person acting in a representative capacity must be “authorized and qualified to represent,” and a notice of appearance must be signed by the applicant to authorize representation in order for the appearance to be recognized by the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS). 8 C.F.R. § 292.4.

The regulations further provide that every application, petition, appeal, motion, request, or other document submitted on the form prescribed by the Department of Homeland Security regulations shall be executed and filed in accordance with the instructions on the form; and the instructions are incorporated into the particular section of the regulations requiring its submission. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(1). Form I-694 includes the following instruction:

Any Form I-694 that is not signed or accompanied by the correct fee will be rejected with a notice that the Form I-694 is deficient. [An applicant] may correct the deficiency and resubmit the Form I-694.¹

In this case the Form I-694 is not signed by the applicant, but rather by [REDACTED]. There is no Form G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance of Attorney or Representative, in the file, however, to indicate that [REDACTED] is an attorney or representative who is authorized to represent the applicant. As the appeal has not been signed and filed by the applicant or by any authorized representative, the appeal is deficient and has not been properly filed. The appeal, therefore, must be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.

¹ Note, however, that a rejected application or petition will not retain a filing date. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(7). As Form I-694 must be filed within 30 days of the notice of decision, it would not be possible to timely resubmit the Form I-694 in this case.