


identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090

PUBLIC COPY



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services



L1

FILE:

MSC-06-101-18494

Office: FRESNO

Date:

AUG 07 2009

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

John F. Grissom  
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004 (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements), was denied by the director, Fresno. The decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant submitted a Form I-687, Application for Status as a Temporary Resident under Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act (Act), and a Form I-687 Supplement, CSS/Newman Class Membership Worksheet (together comprising the I-687 Application). The director denied the application for temporary residence because the applicant had been convicted of three misdemeanor offenses in California. The director concluded that the applicant was not eligible to adjust to temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements.

The applicant is represented by counsel on appeal. Counsel does not challenge the director's conclusion that the applicant has three misdemeanor convictions. Counsel argues that the director did not apply the appropriate legal standards and that the director's failure to issue a Notice of Intent to Deny (NOID) prior to denying the application for temporary residence violated the applicant's right to due process of law. No additional evidence has been submitted on appeal.

An applicant for temporary resident status must establish entry into the United States before January 1, 1982, and continuous residence in the United States in an unlawful status since such date and through the date the application is filed. Section 245A(a)(2) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a(a)(2). The applicant must also establish that he or she has been continuously physically present in the United States since November 6, 1986. Section 245A(a)(3) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a(a)(3). The regulations clarify that the applicant must have been physically present in the United States from November 6, 1986 until the date of filing the application. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.2(b)(1).

For purposes of establishing residence and physical presence under the CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements, the term "until the date of filing" in 8 C.F.R. § 245a.2(b)(1) means until the date the applicant attempted to file a completed Form I-687 application and fee or was caused not to timely file during the original legalization application period of May 5, 1987 to May 4, 1988. CSS Settlement Agreement, paragraph 11 at page 6; Newman Settlement Agreement, paragraph 11 at page 10.

The applicant has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that he or she has resided in the United States for the requisite period, is admissible to the United States under the provisions of section 245A of the Act, and is otherwise eligible for adjustment of status. The

inference to be drawn from the documentation provided shall depend on the extent of the documentation, its credibility and amenability to verification. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.2(d)(5).

The issue in this proceeding is whether the applicant has furnished sufficient credible evidence to meet his burden of establishing continuous unlawful residence in the United States for the duration of the requisite period, that he has no disqualifying criminal convictions and is thus otherwise admissible to the United States. Here, the applicant has failed to meet this burden because of his three misdemeanor convictions.

For purposes of qualifying for certain immigration benefits, an alien who has been convicted of a felony or of three or more misdemeanors committed in the United States is ineligible for adjustment to Lawful Permanent Resident status. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.18(a)(1). "Felony" means a crime committed in the United States punishable by imprisonment for a term of more than one year, regardless of the term such alien actually served, if any, except when the offense is defined by the state as a misdemeanor, and the sentence actually imposed is one year or less, regardless of the term such alien actually served. Under this exception, for purposes of 8 C.F.R. Part 245a, the crime shall be treated as a misdemeanor. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.1(p).

"Misdemeanor" means a crime committed in the United States, either (1) punishable by imprisonment for a term of one year or less, regardless of the term such alien actually served, if any, or (2) a crime treated as a misdemeanor under 8 C.F.R. § 245a.1(p). For purposes of this definition, any crime punishable by imprisonment for a maximum term of five days or less shall not be considered a misdemeanor. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.1(o).

The term 'conviction' means, with respect to an alien, a formal judgment of guilt of the alien entered by a court or, if adjudication of guilt has been withheld, where - (i) a judge or jury has found the alien guilty or the alien has entered a plea of guilty or nolo contendere or has admitted sufficient facts to warrant a finding of guilt, and (ii) the judge has ordered some form of punishment, penalty, or restraint on the alien's liberty to be imposed.

Section 101(a)(48)(A) of the Immigration and Naturalization Act (Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(48)(A).

The AAO has reviewed all of the documents and evidence in the file in their entirety. Court documents indicate that the applicant has three criminal convictions arising from his arrest by the Orange County Police Department on October 27, 1995: the applicant pleaded guilty to one count each for violating section 23152(a) (*DUI*), section 23152(b) (*more than .08% blood alcohol*), and section 20002(a) (*hit and run w/ property damage*) of the California Vehicle Code. [REDACTED]. The court suspended the imposition of sentence, ordered the applicant to pay fines and restitution, and sentenced the applicant to three years of probation. These offenses are considered misdemeanor violations under California law.

Counsel's argument on appeal that the director was required to issue a Notice of Intent to Deny (NOID) pursuant to paragraph 7, page 4 of the CSS Settlement Agreement and paragraph 7, page 7 of the Newman Settlement Agreement prior to denying the Form I-687 is without merit. According to the settlement agreements, the director shall issue a NOID before denying an application for class membership. Here, the director adjudicated the Form I-687 application on the merits. As a result, the director is found not to have denied the application for class membership. Therefore, the director was not required to issue a NOID prior to issuing the final decision in this case.

The record before the AAO clearly establishes that the applicant has three misdemeanor convictions, none of which have been expunged or dismissed for any reason and remain valid for immigration purposes. In this case, there is no evidence in the record to suggest that the applicant's convictions were overturned on account of an underlying procedural defect in the merits of the case. *See Matter of Pickering*, 23 I&N Dec. 621 (BIA 2003); *Matter of Roldan*, 22 I. & N. Dec. 512 (BIA 1999).

The applicant stands convicted of at least three misdemeanor offenses. He is therefore ineligible for temporary resident status pursuant to 8 U.S.C. §1255a(4)(B); 8 C.F.R. § 245A.4(B). No waiver of such ineligibility is available. **The decision of the director is affirmed.**

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.