

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

L1



FILE:

MSC 06 102 27213

Office: CHICAGO

Date:

NOV 02 2009

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a.

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004, (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements) was denied by the Director, Chicago, Illinois, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The director determined the applicant had not demonstrated that he had continuously resided in the United States in an unlawful status since before January 1, 1982, through the date that he attempted to file a Form I-687, Application for Status as a Temporary Resident, with the Immigration and Naturalization Service or the Service, now Citizenship and Immigration Services, in the original legalization application period of May 5, 1987, to May 4, 1988. Therefore, the director determined that the applicant was not eligible to adjust to temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements and denied the application.

On appeal, counsel asserted that the director failed to consider the affidavits submitted. Counsel asserted that the director's findings and decision are both contradictory and capricious and an abuse of discretion. Counsel indicated that a brief would be submitted within 30 days. However, more than two years later, no additional correspondence has been presented by counsel or the applicant.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. Counsel's assertion on appeal that the director did not consider all of the documentation provided by the applicant is without basis. Without specifically identifying any errors on the part of the director, counsel's assertions are insufficient to overcome the well-founded and logical conclusions the director reached based on the evidence contained in the record.

It is noted that the applicant had filed a Form I-485 application [REDACTED] under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act which was denied by the director on June 3, 2005. The applicant did not file an appeal for the denial of this application.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.