

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529 - 2090

PUBLIC COPY



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

41

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: LOS ANGELES Date: **SEP 22 2009**
MSC 06 097 14127


IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.


John F. Grisson
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, or *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004, (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements) was denied by the Director, Los Angeles, California, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application because the applicant had not demonstrated that she had continuously resided in the United States in an unlawful status since before January 1, 1982 through May 4, 1988. As noted by the director, the record reflects that the applicant previously filed an asylum application and stated that she first entered the United States on September 15, 1987.

It is noted that the applicant submitted a Form I-694, Notice of Appeal of Decision Under Section 210 or 245A of the INA, signed by [REDACTED] who indicates that he is the applicant's "Minister." There is no Form G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance of Attorney or Representative, in the file, however, to indicate that [REDACTED] is an attorney or representative who is authorized to represent the applicant. Therefore, the applicant will be considered as self-represented, and the decision will be furnished only to the applicant.

The applicant stated on the Notice of Appeal to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO), Form I-694, filed December 28, 2006, that an appeal brief will be submitted within 30 days. The record reflects that the applicant submitted a Freedom of Information (FOIA) request on October 26, 2007, which was processed on April 8, 2009. However, the record does not reflect receipt of a brief or additional evidence. Therefore, the record must be considered complete.

On appeal, the applicant states only that she did not apply for asylum and that "certain people messed [up] her case." The applicant does not submit any additional evidence on appeal.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has the applicant addressed the grounds stated for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.