

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

41

[REDACTED]

FILE:

[REDACTED]

NEW YORK

Date:

APR 01 2010

MSC-04-328-10551

IN RE:

Applicant:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION:

Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of  
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, your file has been returned to the National Benefits Center. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

*Elizabeth McCormack*

Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, or *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004, (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements) was denied by the Director, New York. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected and the file will be returned to the Director, National Benefits Center, to forward the appeal to a Special Master.

The director determined that the applicant failed to establish that he made a claim with the Attorney General for class membership in the CSS, LULAC, or Zambrano legalization class action lawsuits prior to October 1, 2000. The director denied the application, in part, finding the applicant had failed to establish he was admissible.

On appeal, the applicant asserts that he was continuously residing in the United States from September 1977 to May 4, 1988. He further asserts that he submitted a front-desk questionnaire on or before October 1, 2000 to the Eastern Service Center.

Under the CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements, if the director finds that an applicant is ineligible for class membership, the director must first issue a notice of intent to deny, which explains any perceived deficiency in the applicant's Class Member Application and provide the applicant 30 days to submit additional written evidence or information to remedy the perceived deficiency. Once the applicant has had an opportunity to respond to any such notice, if the applicant has not overcome the director's finding then the director must issue a written decision to deny an application for class membership to both counsel and the applicant, with a copy to class counsel. The notice shall explain the reason for the denial of the application, and notify the applicant of his or her right to seek review of such denial by a Special Master. See CSS Settlement Agreement paragraph 8 at page 5; Newman Settlement Agreement paragraph 8 at page 7.

On July 3, 2007, the director issued a notice of intent to deny (NOID) to the applicant. The director found that the applicant failed to establish he made a written claim for class membership prior to October 1, 2000. The applicant was afforded 30 days to respond to the notice. The applicant responded to the NOID on July 23, 2007, asserting he had previously submitted the attached front-desk questionnaire.

The director issued a notice of denial to the applicant, advising him that he had not overcome the basis for the notice of intent to deny. The director determined that the applicant does not qualify for CSS/Newman class membership. The director instructed the applicant to appeal the decision to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) by filing a Form I-694, Notice of Appeal.

The director's instruction for the applicant to appeal the decision to the AAO is in error and is withdrawn. Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 245a.2(p), the AAO has jurisdiction over the denial of an Application for Temporary Resident Status under section 245A of the Act. Here, the application was denied based on the applicant's failure to establish Class Membership under the

CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements. Therefore, the AAO is without authority to review the denial of the application. The CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements stipulate that an applicant should be notified of his or her right to seek review of the denial of his Class Membership Application by a Special Master.

Since the AAO is without authority to review the denial of the application, the appeal must be rejected, despite the fact that the director stated an appeal could be filed. However, the director is not constrained from reopening the matter *sua sponte* pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 245a.2(q).

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected and the file is returned to the director for further action and consideration pursuant to the above.