

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy  
**PUBLIC COPY**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**

41



FILE:



Office: LOS ANGELES

Date:

**AUG 18 2010**

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a.

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

*Elizabeth McCormack*

Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004, (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements) was denied by the Director, Los Angeles, California. The applicant subsequently appealed the director's decision to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The AAO rejected the appeal as untimely filed. The matter is now before the AAO on motion. The motion will be rejected.

While the AAO may *sua sponte* reopen on its own motion a matter previously adjudicated, the record reveals no error in the adjudication of either the application for temporary residence or the appeal that would warrant reopening.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(b), motions to reopen legalization proceedings under sections 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act shall not be considered. Therefore, the matter will not be reopened. Accordingly, the motion must be rejected

**ORDER:** The motion to reopen is rejected.