


(b)(6)




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



Date: **FEB 27 2013**

Office: CHARLOTTE

File: 

IN RE: Applicant: 

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ron Rosenberg".

Ron Rosenberg,
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc. et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004 (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements), was denied by the Charlotte Field Office Director and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application, finding the applicant failed to establish his continuous residence in the United States during the requisite period. Specifically, she found the evidence submitted with the application to be inconsistent and insufficient to establish eligibility for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the CSS/Newman settlement agreements. In a notice of intent to deny the application, the director informed the applicant that his testimony on a job application that he had been working in Pakistan from 1985 through 1989 was inconsistent with the information he provided on his Form I-687 application. In rebuttal, the applicant asserted that he could not read or write English when he signed the job application.

On appeal, the applicant asks the Service to approve his application for humanitarian reasons.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has he addressed the grounds stated for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.