

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

22

[Redacted]

FILE:

[Redacted]

Office: Los Angeles

Date:

JAN 24 2005

IN RE:

Applicant:

[Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

PUBLIC COPY

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director, Los Angeles, California, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director noted that the applicant had submitted a letter from Western Dental Services, Inc. dated April 22, 2003 signed by [REDACTED] indicating that the applicant had been his patient in the United States since 1981. The director attempted to contact [REDACTED] at the corporation and found that there was no such person listed in their employment records. The director denied the application because the letter submitted in evidence was not credible or verifiable. The director concluded that the applicant failed to prove that she was physically present in the United States before January 1, 1982 and that she resided continuously in this country in an unlawful status from before January 1, 1982 through May 4, 1988.

On appeal, the applicant states that she was optimistic after she had submitted the required documents to support her application. The applicant further states that she was extremely nervous during her interview, which caused her to have difficulty in answering some of the questions. The applicant resubmits an affidavit from an employer and a letter from another dentist indicating that [REDACTED] had been his patient for dental services since March 9, 1988.

The regulations at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv) state that any appeal that fails to state the reason for the appeal or is patently frivolous will be summarily dismissed. The applicant has failed to address the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence on appeal. The appeal shall therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.