

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

L2

[REDACTED]

FILE: [REDACTED] MSC 02 242 60780

Office: CHICAGO

Date: DEC 21 2006

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director, Chicago, Illinois, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The district director denied the application because the applicant had not demonstrated that he resided in the United States in a continuous unlawful status from before January 1, 1982 through May 4, 1988, as required by section 1104(c)(2)(B) of the LIFE Act, and was continuously physically present in the United States from November 6, 1986 through May 4, 1988, as required by section 1104(c)(2)(C) of the LIFE Act.

On appeal, counsel states that the applicant meets all of the statutory requirements for this application and that Citizenship and Immigration Services denied the application without further requests. Counsel submits no further documentation on appeal, and did not address the director's grounds for denial of the application. We note that the director issued a Notice of Intent to Deny on July 8, 2003, notifying the applicant that his evidence was insufficient to establish eligibility under the LIFE Act. The applicant failed to submit a response.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The applicant has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in this proceeding; therefore, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

We note that the applicant filed a Form I-687, Application for Status as a Temporary Resident under Section 245A of the INA on September 13, 2004. That application was denied on January 11, 2006 and subsequently appealed to the AAO on February 10, 2006. That appeal will be addressed in a separate decision.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.