

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**


U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

L2

PUBLIC COPY



FILE:



Office: San Francisco

Date: **SEP 28 2006**

MSC 02 071 65254


IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director, San Francisco, California, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The case will be remanded for further action and consideration.

The district director denied the application because the applicant had not demonstrated that he had continuously resided in the United States in an unlawful status since before January 1, 1982 through May 4, 1988.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 245a.20(a)(2) provides that when an adverse decision is proposed, the Citizenship and Immigration Services shall notify the applicant of its intent to deny the application and the basis for the proposed denial. The applicant will be granted 30 days from the date of the notice in which to respond to the Notice of Intent to Deny.

The record shows that on January 15, 2003, the district director issued an "Intent to Deny-Request for Evidence." The notice, however, only requested that the applicant provide evidence of his entry into the United States prior to January 1, 1982, provide evidence to establish continuous residence in this country since such date through May 4, 1988, provide evidence of continuous physical presence in the United States from November 6, 1986 through May 4, 1988, and submit a Form I-693, Medical Examination of Aliens Seeking Adjustment of Status, marriage certificate, divorce certificate, and two photographs. As the notice did not address the evidence furnished initially and indicate the basis for the proposed denial, it cannot be considered a Notice of Intent to Deny.

Accordingly, the case is remanded for the issuance of a Notice to Deny and for the entry of a new decision in accordance with the foregoing. If the new decision is adverse, it shall be certified to this office.

ORDER: This matter is remanded for further action and consideration pursuant to the above.